

Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC) to improve the Power Quality of Thirty Three Bus Radial System

S. Vadivel¹, B.Baskaran²

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of EEE, Annamalai University, Annamalinagar-608002,

² Professor, Department of EEE, Annamalai University, Annamalinagar-608002

Abstract: The objective of this work is to analyze the voltage profile in thirty three bus radial system by employing DPFC. This work deals with the modeling and the simulation of the thirty three Bus Radial Systems with and without Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC). The DPFC proposed in the present work is expected to improve the power quality of a Thirty Three bus system. The DPFC is the combination of a single shunt converter and several series converters. The shunt converter acts as STATCOM and series converters act as multiple DVRs. The shunt converter injects a part of the reactive power required by the load. The series converters are made to inject voltage at various points along the line to compensate for the line impedance drop. DPFC is the recent device in the family of FACTS which can improve the voltage quality of the buses. Total line impedance is calculated using the data available in the handbook and the simulation studies are performed. Thirty Three Bus Systems with and without DPFC are simulated and their simulation results are compared. The results of comparative study are presented to show the improvement in real and reactive powers.

Keywords: Unified power flow controller (UPFC), Distributed power flow controller (DPFC), Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS), Dynamic Voltage Regulator (DVR), Static Compensator (STATCOM)

I. INTRODUCTION

Power Quality is becoming an important issue for both electric utilities and end users [1]. Unbalanced voltages and currents in a network are one of the concerns under the power quality issue. The unbalance is mainly generated by the great number of single-phase loads which are unevenly distributed over the phases [2]. The unbalanced voltages can produce extra losses in components of the network, such as generators, motors and transformers, while unbalanced currents cause extra losses in components like transmission lines and transformers [3]. Active filters and power factor corrector can be applied to compensate the unbalance at the load side. However their contributions to transmission systems are not large because they are focused on single load [4]. The most powerful device – the UPFC [6] cannot compensate zero-sequence unbalance current, because of the converter topology [7]. DPFC can also be used to improve the power quality and system stability such as power oscillation damping [8] The DPFC employs a shunt based Static Compensator (STATCOM) and multiple series converters to improve the power quality. DPFC has advantages like improved voltage profile and reduced power loss. It increases the power transfer capability and can be utilized for power flow control. The UPFC is not widely applied in practice due to their high cost and the redundancy to failure. Due to the common DC link interconnection a failure that happens at one converter will influence the whole system. The Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC) recently presented in is a power flow device within the FACTS family, which provides much lower cost and higher reliability than the conventional FACTS devices. It is derived from the UPFC and has the same capability of simultaneously adjusting all the parameters of power system like line impedances, transmission angle and bus voltage magnitude. The DPFC eliminates the common DC link between the shunt and series converters and uses the transmission line to exchange active power between converters at the 3rd harmonic frequencies. DPFC can compensate both active and reactive powers. The zero and negative sequence unbalanced currents can also be compensated by DPFC. The Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC) recently presented in [9], is a powerful device within the family of FACTS devices, which provides much lower cost and higher reliability than conventional FACTS devices. It is obtained from the UPFC and has the same capability of simultaneously adjusting all the parameters of the power system: line impedance, transmission angle, and bus voltage magnitude [7]. Within the DPFC, the common DC link between the shunt and series converters is eliminated, which provides flexibility for independent placement of series and shunt converters. The DPFC uses the transmission line to exchange active power between converters at the third harmonic frequency [9]. Instead of one large three-phase converter, the DPFC uses multiple single-phase converters (D-FACTS concept [10]) as the series compensator. This concept not only reduces the rating of the components but also provides a high reliability because of the redundancy. The scheme of the DPFC in a simple two-bus System is shown in Fig.1.




Fig.1: DPFC structure

As the series converters of the DPFC are single-phase, it gives DPFC the opportunity to control current in each phase independently, which implies that both negative and zero sequence unbalanced currents can be compensated. Additional controllers are supplemented to the existing DPFC controller. Their control principle is to monitor the negative and zero sequence currents through the transmission line and to force them to zero. The above literature does not deal with power quality improvement in Thirty Three bus system using DPFC. The objective of this work is to analyze the improvement in power quality due to the addition of DPFC in a thirty three bus system. Operating principle of DPFC is given in section II. Simulation results for thirty three bus system with and without DPFC are presented in section III and the work is concluded in section IV.

II. PRINCIPLE OF THE DPFC

A). Introduction of the DPFC

Multiple individual converters co-ordinate together and compose the DPFC, which is shown in Fig.1. The converters connected in series with the transmission lines are the series converters. They can inject a controllable voltage at the fundamental frequency; consequently they control the power flow through the line. The converter connected between the line and ground is the parallel Converter. The function of the shunt converter is to compensate reactive power to the grid, and to supply the active power required by the series converter. In a normal UPFC, there is active power exchange through the DC link that connects the series converter with the shunt converter. Since there is no common DC link between the shunt and series converters in the DPFC, Within the DPFC, the transmission line presents a common connection between the AC ports of the shunt and the series converters. Therefore, it is possible to exchange active power through the AC ports. The method is based on power theory of non-sinusoidal components. According to the Fourier analysis, non-sinusoidal voltage and current can be expressed as the sum of sinusoidal functions in different frequencies with different amplitudes. The active power resulting from this non-sinusoidal voltage and current is defined as the mean value of the product of voltage and current. [5] Since the integrals of all the cross product of terms with different frequencies are zero, the active power can be expressed by:

$$P = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n I_n \cos \phi_n \quad (1)$$

Where n is the order of the harmonic frequency and ϕ_n is the angle between the current and voltage of the nth harmonic. Equation (1) shows that the active powers at different frequencies are independent from each other and the voltage or current at one frequency has no influence on the active power at other frequencies. The independence of the active power at different frequencies gives the possibility that a converter without a power source can generate active power at one frequency and absorb this power from other frequencies. [5] The high-pass filter within the DPFC blocks the fundamental frequency components and allows the harmonic components to pass, thereby providing a return path for the harmonic components. The shunt and series converters, the high pass filter and the ground form a closed loop for the harmonic current. [5]

B). Control Principle of DPFC

The DPFC system consists of two types of converters, and each type of converter requires a different control scheme. The block diagram of the DPFC and its control is shown in Fig.2. The shunt converter is controlled to inject a constant third harmonic current into the transmission line, which is intended to supply active power for the series converters. The shunt converter extracts some active power from the grid at the fundamental frequency to maintain its DC voltage. The DC voltage of the shunt converter is controlled by the d component of the current at the fundamental frequency, and the q component is utilized for reactive power compensation. The series converters generate a voltage with controllable phase angle at fundamental frequency, and use the voltage at the 3rd harmonic frequency to absorb active power to maintain its DC voltages at a

constant value. The power flow control function is realized by an outer control loop, the power flow control block. This block gets its reference signals from the system operator, and the control signals for DPFC series converters are sent remotely via wireless or PLC communication method. To control multiple converters, a DPFC consists of three types of controllers: central control, shunt control and series control, as shown in Figure 2.




Fig.2. Block diagram representing the control mode of DPFC

The shunt and series control are localized controllers and are responsible for maintaining their own converters parameters. The central control takes care of the DPFC functions at the power system level. The function of each controller is listed:

- AC voltage control: The AC voltage control generates the reference signals for both the shunt and series converters of the DPFC. Its control function depends on the specifics of the DPFC application at the power system level, such as power flow control, low frequency power oscillation damping and balancing of asymmetrical components. According to the system requirements, the central control gives corresponding voltage reference signals for the series converters and reactive current signal for the shunt converter. All the reference signals generated by the central control concern the fundamental frequency components.
- Series control: Each series converter has its own series control. The controller is used to maintain the capacitor DC voltage of its own converter, by using 3rd harmonic frequency components, in addition to generating series voltage at the fundamental frequency as required by the central control.
- Shunt control: The objective of the shunt control is to inject a constant 3rd harmonic current into the line to supply active power for the series converters. At the same time, it maintains the capacitor DC voltage of the shunt converter at a constant value by absorbing active power from the grid at the fundamental frequency and injecting the required reactive current at the fundamental frequency into the grid.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

3.1 Thirty Three Bus Systems without DPFC

The parameters given in Appendix-I are calculated by multiplying ohms per Km with the length of line. The pulse width of all inverters is calculated based on frequency. The Simulink model of thirty three bus system without DPFC is shown in Fig 3(a). Each feeder is represented as series impedance. Each load is represented as shunt impedance.




Fig 3(a) Model of 33 Bus system without DPFC

The source voltage is shown in Fig 3(b). The peak value is 6600V.




Fig 3(b) Source Voltage

The voltage, real power and reactive power at bus 5 are shown in Figs 3(c) & 3(d) respectively. The peak value of voltage is 4100V. Real power reduces to 2.99×10^4 W and Reactive power reduces to 2.28×10^4 MVAR.




Fig 3(c) voltage at Bus -5




Fig 3(d) Real & Reactive Power Bus-5

The voltage, real power and reactive power at bus 11 are shown in Figs 3(e) & 3(f) respectively. The voltage decreases from 4400V to 4200V. Real power reduces to 4.21×10^4 W and Reactive power reduces to 4.48×10^4 VAR.




Fig 3(e) voltage at Bus-11




Fig 3(f) Real & Reactive Power at Bus-11

The voltage, real power and reactive power at bus 17 are shown in Figs 3(g) & 3(h) respectively. The voltage reduces to 3958V. Real power reduces to 2.64×10^4 W and Reactive power reduces to 2.73×10^4 VAR.




Fig 3(g) Voltage at Bus-17






Fig 3(h) Real & Reactive Power at Bus-17




The voltage, real power and reactive power at bus 27 are shown in Figs 3(i) & 3(j) respectively. The peak value of voltage is 4000V. Real and Reactive power are reduced to 2.61×10^4 W and 2.68×10^4 VAR respectively.




3(i) Voltage at Bus-27



The voltage, real power and reactive power at bus 29 are shown in Figs 3(k) & 3(l) respectively. The voltage reduces to 4000V. Real and Reactive power are reduced to 2.73×10^4 W and 3.31×10^4 VAR respectively.



The voltage, real power and reactive power at bus 33 are shown in Figs 3(m) & 3(n) respectively. The peak value of voltage is 4250V. Real and Reactive power are reduced to 2.91×10^4 W and 3.24×10^4 VAR respectively. It is observed that the voltage decreases at various buses due to the addition of extra load.



3.2 Thirty three bus system with DPFC

The circuit model of thirty three bus system with DPFC is shown in Fig 4(a).




Fig 4(a) 33-bus system with DPFC

The STATCOM system is shown in Fig 4(b) and source voltage is shown in Fig 4(c).




Fig 4(b) STATCOM system

The Peak value of voltage is 6600V




Fig 4(c) Sending end voltage

The voltages, real and reactive powers at bus 5 are shown in Figs 4(d) & 4(e) respectively. The peak value of voltage is 4400V, Real and Reactive power are reduced to 3.15×10^4 W and 2.34×10^4 VAR respectively.




Fig 4(d) Voltage at Bus -5




Fig 4(e) Real & Reactive power at Bus-5




Fig4 (f) Voltage at Bus-11

The bus voltage, real and reactive powers at bus 11 are shown in Figs 4(f) & 4(g) respectively. The Peak value is 4820V, Real and Reactive powers are reduced to 4.40×10^4 W and 4.5×10^4 VAR respectively.




Fig 4 (g) Real & Reactive Power at Bus-11

The series converter is shown in Fig 4(h). The voltages, real and reactive power at bus 17 are shown in Figs 4(i) & 4(j) respectively.




Fig 4(h) DVR system

The peak value of voltage is 4750V, Real and Reactive power are reduced to 2.74×10^4 W and 4.78×10^4 VAR respectively.




Fig 4(i) Voltage at Bus-17




Fig 4(j) Real & Reactive Power at Bus-17




Fig 4(k) Voltage at Bus-27

The voltage, real and reactive powers at bus 27 are shown in Fig 4(k) & 4(l) respectively. The peak value of voltage is 4830V, Real and Reactive power are reduced to 2.71×10^4 W and 6.13×10^4 VAR respectively.




Fig 4(l) Real & Reactive Power at Bus -27

The voltages, real and reactive power at bus 29 are shown in Figs 4(m) & 4(n) respectively. The peak voltage value is 4910V, Real and Reactive power are reduced to 0.283MW and 0.589MVAR respectively.




Fig 4(m) Output Voltage at Bus-29




Fig 4(n) Real & Reactive Power at Bus-29




Fig 4(o) Output Voltage at Bus-33

The voltages, real and reactive power at bus 33 are shown in Figs 4(o) & 4(p) respectively. The peak voltage value is 4860V, Real and Reactive power are reduced to 3.01×10^4 W and 4.61×10^4 VAR respectively.




Fig 4(p) Real & Reactive Power at Bus-33

In the above wave forms, it is observed the voltage reaches normal value following the change in load, due to the addition of series converters and shunt converters. The buses 5, 11, 17, 27, 29 & 33 are selected, since they are nearer to the multiple DVRs introduced.

BUS NO	Power factor without DPFC	Real power (10^5 W) Without dpfc	Real power (10^5 W) With dpfc	Reactive power (10^5 VAR) Without dpfc	Reactive power (10^5 VAR) With dpfc	Power factor with DPFC
BUS-1	0.648	0.421	0.598	0.448	0.604	0.703
BUS-2	0.720	0.337	0.618	0.324	0.563	0.739
BUS-3	0.897	0.475	0.493	0.234	0.241	0.899
BUS-4	0.790	0.321	0.389	0.249	0.253	0.838
BUS-5	0.795	0.299	0.315	0.228	0.234	0.802
BUS-6	0.622	0.258	0.339	0.324	0.343	0.702
BUS-7	0.689	0.264	0.341	0.277	0.298	0.736
BUS-8	0.678	0.289	0.353	0.313	0.324	0.739
BUS-9	0.613	0.273	0.338	0.351	0.321	0.721
BUS-10	0.619	0.253	0.315	0.321	0.340	0.679
BUS-11	0.684	0.421	0.440	0.448	0.450	0.699
BUS-12	0.714	0.331	0.529	0.324	0.502	0.725
BUS-13	0.899	0.475	0.485	0.231	0.535	0.903
BUS-14	0.844	0.384	0.394	0.244	0.547	0.850
BUS-15	0.794	0.293	0.303	0.224	0.537	0.804
BUS-16	0.619	0.258	0.268	0.327	0.486	0.634
BUS-17	0.695	0.264	0.274	0.273	0.478	0.708
BUS-18	0.678	0.289	0.299	0.313	0.489	0.691
BUS-19	0.620	0.278	0.288	0.351	0.471	0.634
BUS-20	0.615	0.254	0.264	0.325	0.532	0.631
BUS-21	0.692	0.423	0.433	0.441	0.534	0.701
BUS-22	0.711	0.332	0.342	0.328	0.567	0.722

BUS-23	0.897	0.471	0.481	0.232	0.543	0.901
BUS-24	0.803	0.325	0.335	0.241	0.531	0.812
BUS-25	0.791	0.293	0.303	0.226	0.524	0.802
BUS-26	0.622	0.257	0.267	0.323	0.647	0.637
BUS-27	0.697	0.261	0.271	0.268	0.613	0.711
BUS-28	0.671	0.289	0.299	0.319	0.622	0.684
BUS-29	0.636	0.273	0.283	0.331	0.589	0.650
BUS-30	0.621	0.253	0.263	0.319	0.576	0.636
BUS-31	0.700	0.264	0.274	0.269	0.469	0.714
BUS-32	0.650	0.281	0.291	0.328	0.464	0.664
BUS-33	0.668	0.291	0.301	0.324	0.461	0.681

IV. CONCLUSION

The IEEE thirty three bus radial distribution system was successfully modeled and simulated. The results of case studies with and without DPFC for thirty three bus radial systems were presented. Lines and loads were modeled as constant impedances. The series converters of DPFC were added towards the far end of the distribution system. It is observed that the DPFC was capable of improving the voltage profile at load bus apart from enhancing the real and reactive power transfer, which was evident from the simulation results. The advantages of DPFC system was improved voltage profile and power factor. The disadvantage of DPFC was the requirement of multiple inverters, shunt capacitors and series transformers. The scope of this work was the modeling and simulation of IEEE thirty three bus radial distribution system. The Simulation studies on fifty bus system will be carried out in future.

Appendix-I: Parameters used for simulation voltage and frequency; 440V, 50 Hz.

Bus No	VOLTAGE	LOAD IMPEDANCE	
		RESISTANCE	INDUCTANCE
Bus 1	6.6kv	-	-
Bus 2	-	10 \square	50mH
Bus 3	-	25 \square	40mH
Bus 4	6.6kv	-	-
Bus 5	-	85 \square	110mH
Bus 6	-	95 \square	125mH
Bus 7	-	125 \square	180mH
Bus 8	6.6 kv	-	-
Bus 9	-	135 \square	167mH
Bus 10	-	58 \square	127mH
Bus 11	-	100 \square	100mH
Bus 12	6.6 kv	-	-
Bus 13	-	48 \square	100mH
Bus 14	-	67 \square	97mH
Bus 15	6.6 Kv	-	-
Bus 16	-	33 \square	65mH
Bus 17	-	78 \square	125mH
Bus 18	6.6 kv	-	-
Bus 19	-	120 \square	150mH
Bus 20	-	120 \square	168mH
Bus 21	-	125 \square	130mH
Bus 22	-	25 \square	90mH
Bus 23	-	110 \square	138mH
Bus 24	6.6 kv	-	-
Bus 25	-	10 \square	100mH
Bus 26	-	10 \square	100mH

Bus 27	6.6 kv	-	-
Bus 28	-	10 □	10 □
Bus 29	-	89 □	89 □
Bus 30	-	115 □	115 □
Bus 31	-	125 □	125 □
Bus 32	-	120 □	120 □
Bus 33	-	110 □	110 □

Bus No	LINE IMPEDANCE	
	RESISTANCE	INDUCTANCE
Bus 1-2	8 □	30mH
Bus 2-5	3 □	38mH
Bus 5-7	6 □	40mH
Bus 3-1	13 □	37mH
Bus 4-2	15 □	30mH
Bus 6-2	23 □	26mH
Bus 6-7	45 □	56mH
Bus 13-4	54 □	63mH
Bus 12-4	43 □	100mH
Bus 12-16	36 □	113mH
Bus 16-17	24 □	55mH
Bus 17-10	36 □	85mH
Bus 10-9	78 □	125mH
Bus 9-11	85 □	79mH
Bus 10-6	96 □	150mH
Bus 6-9	110 □	138mH
Bus 6-28	108 □	124mH
Bus 15-14	89 □	119mH
Bus 18-19	76 □	106mH
Bus 19-20	79 □	98mH
Bus 20-10	86 □	110mH
Bus 22-21	55 □	103mH
Bus 21-10	40 □	75mH
Bus 27-28	55 □	69mH
Bus 28-30	64 □	78mH
Bus 27-30	81 □	93mH
Bus 15-18	112 □	97mH
Bus 15-23	106 □	136mH
Bus 23-24	93 □	131mH
Bus 24-22	89 □	124mH
Bus 24-25	40 □	75mH
Bus 25-26	55 □	69mH
Bus 28-27	64 □	78mH
Bus 27-29	81 □	93mH
Bus 29-30	112 □	97mH
Bus 30-31	93 □	131mH
Bus 31-32	89 □	124mH
Bus 32-33	112 □	97mH

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. Dugan and ebrary Inc, Electrical power systems quality, 2nd Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2003.
- [2] M. Chindris, A. Cziker, A. Miron, H. Balan, A. Iacob, and A. Sudria, "Propagation of unbalance in electric power systems," in Electrical Power Quality and Utilisation, 2007. EPQU 2007. 9th International Conference on, 2007, pp. 1–5.
- [3] J. Pedra, L. Sainz, F. Corcoles, and L. Guasch, "Symmetrical and unsymmetrical voltage sag effects on three-phase transformers," Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1683–1691, 2005.
- [4] K. Nohara, A. Ueda, A. Torii, and D. Kae, "Compensating characteristics of a series-shunt active power filter considering unbalanced source voltage and unbalanced load," in Power Conversion Conference - Nagoya, 2007. PCC '07, 2007, pp. 1692–1697.
- [5] Zhihui Yuan, Sjoerd W.H.de Haan, Jan Braham Ferreira, Dalibor Cvoric "A FACTS Device: Distributed Power Flow Controller (DPFC)" IEEE Transactions Power Electronics, vol. 25, no.10, pp.2564-2572,October 2010.
- [6] L. Gyugyi, "Unified power-flow control concept for flexible ac transmission systems," Generation, Transmission and Distribution [see also IEE Proceedings-Generation, Transmission and Distribution], IEE Proceedings C, vol. 139, no. 4, pp. 323–331, 1992
- [7] Y. Ikeda and T. Kataoka, "A UPFC-based voltage compensator with current and voltage balancing function," in Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition, 2005. APEC - 2005. Twentieth Annual IEEE, vol.3, 2005, pp. 1838–1844 Vol. 3..

- [8] M. D. Deepak, E. B. William, S. S. Robert, K. Bill, W. G. Randal, T. B. Dale, R. I. Michael, and S. G. Ian, "A Distributed Static Series Compensator System for realizing active power flow control on existing power lines," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 642–649, Jan. 2007.
- [9] Z. Yuan, S. W. H. de Haan, and B. Ferreira, "A new facts component: Distributed power flow controller (dpfc)," in *Power Electronics and Applications, 2007 European Conference on*, 2007, pp. 1–4.
- [10] D. Divan and H. Johal, "Distributed facts - a new concept for realizing grid power flow control," in *Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2005. PESC '05 IEEE 36th*, 2005, pp. 8–14.
- [11] H. Namho, J. Johan, and N. Kwan ghee, "A fast dynamic dc-link power-balancing scheme for a PWM converter-inverter system," *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 794–803, 2001.
- [12] Z. Yuan, S.W.H. de Haan, B. Ferreira, A new FACTS component – distributed power flow controller (DPFC), in *European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications, Aalborg, September 2007*, pp 1–4.
- [13] I.M. Martins, F.A. Silva, S.F. Pinto, I.E. Martins, Control of distributed power flow controllers using active power from homo polar line currents, in: *IEEE 13th International Conference OPTIM 2012, Brasov, May, 2012*, pp. 806–813.