

Fractional Integration Operators of Certain Generalized Hypergeometric Function of Two Variables

Yashwant Singh¹, Nanda Kulkarni²

¹Department of Mathematics, Government College, Kaladera, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

²Department of Mathematics, Maharani Lakshmi Ammanni College for Women Bangalore Karnataka, India

Abstract: In the present paper the authors introduce two new fractional integration operators associated with \overline{H} -function of two variables. Three important properties of these operators are established which are the generalization of the results given earlier by several authors.

Key words: Fractional Integration Operators, \overline{H} -function of two variables, Mellin Transform (2000 Mathematics subject classification : 33C99)

I. Introduction

The \overline{H} -function of two variables defined and represented by Singh and Mandia [10] in the following manner:

$$\overline{H}[x, y] = \overline{H} \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix} \right] = \overline{H}_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{o, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_2} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, p_1}, (c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, m_2}, (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1, m_3}, (e_j, E_j)_{n_3+1, p_3} \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, q_1}, (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, m_2}, (d_j, \delta_j; L_j)_{m_2+1, q_2}, (f_j, F_j)_{1, m_3}, (f_j, F_j; S_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{L_1} \int_{L_2} \phi_1(\xi, \eta) \phi_2(\xi) \phi_3(\eta) x^\xi y^\eta d\xi d\eta \quad (1.1)$$

Where

$$\phi_1(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j \xi + A_j \eta)}{\prod_{j=n_1+1}^{p_1} \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j \xi - A_j \eta) \prod_{j=1}^{q_1} \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j \xi + B_j \eta)} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\phi_2(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \{ \Gamma(1 - c_j + \gamma_j \xi) \}^{K_j} \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \Gamma(d_j - \delta_j \xi)}{\prod_{j=n_2+1}^{p_2} \Gamma(c_j - \gamma_j \xi) \prod_{j=m_2+1}^{q_2} \{ \Gamma(1 - d_j + \delta_j \xi) \}^{L_j}} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\phi_3(\eta) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \{ \Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j \eta) \}^{R_j} \prod_{j=1}^{m_3} \Gamma(f_j - F_j \eta)}{\prod_{j=n_3+1}^{p_3} \Gamma(e_j - E_j \eta) \prod_{j=m_3+1}^{q_3} \{ \Gamma(1 - f_j + F_j \eta) \}^{S_j}} \quad (1.4)$$

Where x and y are not equal to zero (real or complex), and an empty product is interpreted as unity p_i, q_i, n_i, m_j are non-negative integers such that $0 \leq n_i \leq p_i, 0 \leq m_j \leq q_j (i = 1, 2, 3; j = 2, 3)$. All the $a_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, p_1), b_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q_1), c_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, p_2), d_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q_2), e_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, p_3), f_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q_3)$ are complex parameters.

$\gamma_j \geq 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, p_2), \delta_j \geq 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, q_2)$ (not all zero simultaneously), similarly

$E_j \geq 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, p_3), F_j \geq 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, q_3)$ (not all zero simultaneously). The exponents

$K_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_3), L_j (j = m_2 + 1, \dots, q_2), R_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_3), S_j (j = m_3 + 1, \dots, q_3)$ can take on non-negative values.

The contour L_1 is in ξ -plane and runs from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$. The poles of $\Gamma(d_j - \delta_j \xi)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, m_2$) lie to the right and the poles of $\Gamma\{(1-c_j + \gamma_j \xi)\}^{K_j}$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n_2$), $\Gamma(1-a_j + \alpha_j \xi + A_j \eta)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n_1$) to the left of the contour. For K_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n_2$) not an integer, the poles of gamma functions of the numerator in (1.3) are converted to the branch points.

The contour L_2 is in η -plane and runs from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$. The poles of $\Gamma(f_j - F_j \eta)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, m_3$) lie to the right and the poles of $\Gamma\{(1-e_j + E_j \eta)\}^{R_j}$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n_3$), $\Gamma(1-a_j + \alpha_j \xi + A_j \eta)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, n_1$) to the left of the contour. For R_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n_3$) not an integer, the poles of gamma functions of the numerator in (1.4) are converted to the branch points.

The functions defined in (1.1) is an analytic function of x and y , if

$$U = \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} \gamma_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \beta_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} \delta_j < 0 \quad (1.5)$$

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} A_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p_3} E_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} B_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_3} F_j < 0 \quad (1.6)$$

The integral in (1.1) converges under the following set of conditions:

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \alpha_j - \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{p_1} \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} \delta_j - \sum_{j=m_2+1}^{q_2} \delta_j L_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \gamma_j K_j - \sum_{j=n_2+1}^{p_2} \gamma_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \beta_j > 0 \quad (1.7)$$

$$\Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} A_j - \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{p_1} A_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} F_j - \sum_{j=m_2+1}^{q_2} F_j S_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} E_j R_j - \sum_{j=n_2+1}^{p_3} E_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} B_j > 0 \quad (1.8)$$

$$|\arg x| < \frac{1}{2} \Omega \pi, \quad |\arg y| < \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \pi \quad (1.9)$$

The behavior of the \overline{H} -function of two variables for small values of $|z|$ follows as:

$$\overline{H}[x, y] = 0 (|x|^\alpha |y|^\beta), \max\{|x|, |y|\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.10)$$

Where

$$\alpha = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_2} \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{d_j}{\delta_j} \right) \right] \quad \beta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_2} \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) \right] \quad (1.11)$$

For large value of $|z|$,

$$\overline{H}[x, y] = 0 \{ |x|^{\alpha'}, |y|^{\beta'} \}, \min\{|x|, |y|\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.12)$$

Where

$$\alpha' = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(K_j \frac{c_j - 1}{\gamma_j} \right), \quad \beta' = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n_3} \operatorname{Re} \left(R_j \frac{e_j - 1}{E_j} \right) \quad (1.13)$$

Provided that $U < 0$ and $V < 0$.

If we take

$$K_j = 1 (j=1, 2, \dots, n_2), L_j = 1 (j=m_2+1, \dots, q_2), R_j = 1 (j=1, 2, \dots, n_3), S_j = 1 (j=m_3+1, \dots, q_3)$$

in (2.1), the \overline{H} -function of two variables reduces to H -function of two variables due to [7].

If we set $n_1 = p_1 = q_1 = 0$, the \overline{H} -function of two variables breaks up into a product of two \overline{H} -function of one variable namely

$$\overline{H}_{0,0;0,0;0,0}^{0,0;m_2,n_2;m_3,n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} -(c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1,n_2}, (c_j, \gamma_j)_{n+1,p_2}, (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1,m_3}, (e_j, E_j)_{m_3+1,p_3} \\ -(d_j, \delta_j)_{1,m_2}, (d_j, \delta_j; L_j)_{m_2+1,q_2}, (f_j, F_j)_{1,m_3}, (f_j, F_j; S_j)_{m_3+1,q_3} \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \overline{H}_{p_2, q_2}^{m_2, n_2} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, n_2} \\ (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, m_2} \end{matrix} \right. \begin{matrix} (c_j, \gamma_j)_{n_2+1, p_2} \\ (d_j, \delta_j; L_j)_{m_2+1, q_2} \end{matrix} \right] \overline{H}_{p_3, q_3}^{m_3, n_3} \left[y \left| \begin{matrix} (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1, n_3} \\ (f_j, F_j)_{1, m_3} \end{matrix} \right. \begin{matrix} (e_j, E_j)_{n_3+1, p_3} \\ (f_j, F_j; S_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (1.14)$$

If $\lambda > 0$, we then obtain

$$\lambda^2 \overline{H}_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} x^\lambda \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \lambda \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, p_1} \\ (b_j, \lambda \beta_j; B_j)_{1, q_1} \end{matrix} \right. \begin{matrix} (c_j, \lambda \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, n_2} \\ (d_j, \lambda \delta_j; L_j)_{m_2+1, q_2} \end{matrix} \\ y^\lambda \left| \begin{matrix} (e_j, \lambda E_j; R_j)_{1, n_3} \\ (f_j, \lambda F_j; S_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right. \end{matrix} \right] \quad (1.15)$$

$$\overline{H}_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, p_1} \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, q_1} \end{matrix} \right. \begin{matrix} (c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, n_2} \\ (d_j, \delta_j; L_j)_{m_2+1, q_2} \end{matrix} \\ y \left| \begin{matrix} (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1, n_3} \\ (f_j, F_j; S_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right. \end{matrix} \right] \quad (1.16)$$

II. Definitions

We introduce the fractional integration operators by means of the following integral equations:

$$Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] = r x^{-\delta-r\beta-1} \int_0^x t^\delta (x^r - t^r)^\beta f(t) \overline{H} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda U \\ \mu U \end{matrix} \right] dt \quad (2.1)$$

And

$$N \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] = r x^\alpha \int_0^x t^{-\alpha-r\beta-1} (t^r - x^r)^\beta f(t) \overline{H} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda V \\ \mu V \end{matrix} \right] dt \quad (2.2)$$

Where U and V represent the expressions

$$\left(\frac{t^r}{x^r} \right)^m \left(1 - \frac{t^r}{x^r} \right)^n \text{ and } \left(\frac{x^r}{t^r} \right)^m \left(1 - \frac{x^r}{t^r} \right)^n$$

Respectively, r, m, n are positive integers and

$$|\arg \lambda| < \frac{1}{2} \Omega \pi, \quad |\arg \mu| < \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \pi.$$

The conditions of the validity of these operators are as follow:

(i) $1 \leq p, q < \infty, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

(ii) $\operatorname{Re} \left(\delta + r m \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + r m \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > -\frac{1}{q},$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\beta + r m \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + r m \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > -\frac{1}{q},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\alpha + r m \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + r m \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > -\frac{1}{p},$$

(iii) $f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty).$

The last condition ensures that Y and N both exists and also that both belongs to $L_p(0, \infty)$.

If we set $n_1 = p_1 = q_1 = 0$, we obtain the operators involving the product of two \overline{H} -functions.

If we take

$K_j = 1(j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2), L_j = 1(j = m_2 + 1, \dots, q_2), R_j = 1(j = 1, 2, \dots, n_3), S_j = 1(j = m_3 + 1, \dots, q_3)$ we obtain the operators involving H -function of two variables.

III. Mellin Transform

The Mellin transform of $f(t)$ will be defined by $M[f(t)]$. We write $s = p^{-1} + it$ where p and t are real. If $p \geq 1, f(t) \in L_p(0, \infty)$, then

$$p = 1, M[f(t)] = \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} f(t) dt \tag{3.1}$$

$$p > 1, M[f(t)] = \lim_{1/x} \int_0^x t^{s-1} f(t) dt \tag{3.2}$$

Where *l.i.m.* denotes the usual limit in the mean for L_p -spaces.

Theorem 1. If

$$f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty), 1 \leq p \leq 2 \text{ [or } f(x) \in M_p(0, \infty) \text{ and } p > 2] \mid \arg \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \Omega \pi, \mid \arg \mu < \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \pi, (\Omega, \Lambda) > 0$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\delta + rm \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + rm \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > -\frac{1}{q}, \operatorname{Re} \left(\beta + rm \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + rm \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > -\frac{1}{q}, \text{ then}$$

$$M \left\{ Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] \right\} = \overline{H}_{p_1+2, q_1+2; p_2, q_2; p_2, q_2}^{o, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_2} \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix} \left(\begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, p_1} \left(-\frac{\delta+s}{r}, m \right), (c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, m_2}, (c_j, \gamma_j)_{n_2+1, p_2}, (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1, m_3}, (e_j, E_j)_{n_3+1, p_3} \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, q_1} \left(-\beta - \frac{1+\delta-s}{r}, m+n \right), (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, m_2}, (d_j, \delta_j)_{m_2+1, q_2}, (f_j, F_j)_{1, m_3}, (f_j, F_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right) M[f(x)] \right] \tag{3.3}$$

Where $M_p(0, \infty)$ denotes the class of all functions $f(x)$ of $L_p(0, \infty)$ with $p > 2$ which are inverse Mellin transforms of functions of $L_q(-\infty, \infty)$.

Proof: From (2.1), it follows that

$$M \left\{ Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] \right\} = \int_0^{\infty} x^{s-1} r x^{-\delta-r\beta-1} \int_0^x t^{\delta} (x^r - t^r)^{\beta} f(t) \overline{H} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda U \\ \mu U \end{matrix} \right] dt dx \\ = \int_0^{\infty} t^{\delta} f(t) dt \int_0^x x^{s-\delta-r\beta-2} (x^r - t^r)^{\beta} f(t) \overline{H} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda U \\ \mu U \end{matrix} \right] dx,$$

The change of order of integration is permissible by virtue of de la Vallee Poisson's theorem ([2], p.504) under the conditions stated with the theorems. The theorem readily follows on evaluating the x -integral by means of the formula

$$\int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} \overline{H}_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_2, q_2}^{o, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_2} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda x^k (1-x)^l \\ \mu x^k (1-x)^l \end{matrix} \left(\begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, p_1}, (c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, m_2}, (c_j, \gamma_j)_{n_2+1, p_2}, (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1, m_3}, (e_j, E_j)_{n_3+1, p_3} \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, q_1}, (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, m_2}, (d_j, \delta_j)_{m_2+1, q_2}, (f_j, F_j)_{1, m_3}, (f_j, F_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right) \right] dx \\ = \overline{H}_{p_1+2, q_1+2; p_2, q_2; p_2, q_2}^{o, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_2} \left[\begin{matrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{matrix} \left(\begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, p_1}, (1-\alpha, k), (c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, m_2}, (c_j, \gamma_j)_{n_2+1, p_2}, (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1, m_3}, (e_j, E_j)_{n_3+1, p_3} \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, q_1}, (1-\alpha-\beta, k+l), (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, m_2}, (d_j, \delta_j)_{m_2+1, q_2}, (f_j, F_j)_{1, m_3}, (f_j, F_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right) \right] \tag{3.4}$$

Where

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\alpha + k \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + k \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > 0, \operatorname{Re} \left(\beta + k \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + k \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > 0 \mid \arg \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \Omega \pi, \mid \arg \mu < \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \pi, (\Omega, \Lambda) > 0,$$

which follows from the definition of the \overline{H} -function of two variables and Beta function formula.

In a similar manner, the following theorems can be established.

Theorem 2. If

$$f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty), 1 \leq p \leq 2 \text{ [or } f(x) \in M_p(0, \infty) \text{ and } p > 2] \mid \arg \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \Omega \pi, \mid \arg \mu < \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \pi, (\Omega, \Lambda) > 0$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\beta + rm \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + rm \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > -\frac{1}{q}, \operatorname{Re} \left(\alpha + \beta r + rm \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + rm \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > -\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \text{ then}$$

$$M \left\{ N \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] \right\} = \overline{H} \begin{matrix} o, n_1; & m_2, n_2; m_3, n_2 \\ p_1+2, q_1+2; p_2, q_2; p_2, q_2 \end{matrix}$$

$$\left[\begin{matrix} \lambda \left(a_j, \alpha_j; A_j \right)_{1, p_1} \left(\frac{1-\alpha\delta-s}{r}, m \right), (c_j, \gamma_j; K_j)_{1, m_2}, (c_j, \gamma_j)_{n_2+1, p_2}, (e_j, E_j; R_j)_{1, m_3}, (e_j, E_j)_{n_3+1, p_3} \\ \mu \left(b_j, \beta_j; B_j \right)_{1, q_1} \left(-\beta - \frac{\alpha+s}{r}, m+n \right), (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, m_2}, (d_j, \delta_j; L_j)_{m_2+1, q_2}, (f_j, F_j)_{1, m_3}, (f_j, F_j; S_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] M[f(x)] \quad (3.5)$$

Theorem 3. If $f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty)$, $g(x) \in L_p(0, \infty)$, $|\arg \lambda| < \frac{1}{2} \Omega \pi$, $|\arg \mu| < \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \pi$, $(\Omega, \Lambda) > 0$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\beta + rm \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + rm \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > \max \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right), \operatorname{Re} \left(\beta r + rm \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} + rm \frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) > 0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \text{ then}$$

$$\int_0^\infty g(x) Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] dx = \int_0^\infty f(x) N \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} g(x) \right] dx \quad (3.6)$$

IV. Formal Properties of the Operators

Here, we give some formal properties of the operators which follow as consequences of the definitions (2.1) and (2.2).

$$x^{-1} Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x^{-1} \end{matrix} f(x^{-1}) \right] = N \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] \quad (4.1)$$

$$x^{-1} N \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x^{-1} \end{matrix} f(x^{-1}) \right] = Y \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] \quad (4.2)$$

$$x^\eta Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] = Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta-\eta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} x^\eta f(x) \right] \quad (4.3)$$

$$x^\eta N \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] = N \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha+\eta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} x^\eta f(x) \right] \quad (4.4)$$

If

$$Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] = g(x), \text{ then}$$

$$Y \left[\begin{matrix} \delta, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(cx) \right] = g(cx) \quad (4.5)$$

And if

$$N \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(x) \right] = \phi(x), \text{ then}$$

$$N \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta; r \\ \lambda, \mu; x \end{matrix} f(cx) \right] = \phi(cx) \quad (4.6)$$

In conclusion, it is interesting to observe that double integral operators associated with \overline{H} -function of two variables can be defined in the same way and their various properties, analogous to the integral operators studied in this paper can be obtained.

References

- [1] Agarwal, R.P.; An extension of Meijer's G -function, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, Part A(3), (1965),536- 546.
- [2] Bromwich, T.J.T.A.; Theory of Infinite Series, Macmillan, London (1954).
- [3] Erdelyi, A.; On fractional integration and application to the theory of Hankel transforms, Quar. J. Math., Oxford Series 2(44), (1940),293-303.
- [4] Kober, H.; On fractional integrals and derivatives, Quar. J. Math., Oxford Series II, (1940),193-211.
- [5] Kalla, S.L. and Saxena, R.K.; Integral operators involving hypergeometric functions, Math. Zeitscher, 108, (1969), 231-234.
- [6] Lowndes, J.S.; A generalization of the Erdelyi-Kober operators, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 17(2), (1970), 139-148.
- [7] Mittal, P.K. & Gupta, K.C.; An integral involving generalized function of two variables. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A* (75)⊕1961), 67-73.
- [8] Munot, P.C. and Kalla, S.L.; On an extension of generalized function of two variables, Universidad Nac. De. Tuc. Rev. Ser. A(1971),21-27.
- [9] Paraser, B.P.; Domain and range of fractional integration operators, Math. Japan, 12(1968), 141-145.
- [10] Singh, Y. and Mandia, H.; A study of H -function of two variables, International Journal of Innovative research in science, engineering and technology, Vol.2,(9)(2013),4914-4921
- [11] Saxena, R.K.; On fractional integration operators, Math. Zeitcher, 96(1967), 288-291.
- [12] 12. Saxena, R.K.; Integrals of products of H -functions, Universidad Nac. De. Tuc. Rev. Ser. A(1971),185-191.
- [13] 13. Saxena, R.K. and Kumbhat, R.K.; A generalization of Kober operators, Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika, India, 16(1973), 31-36.
- [14] Saxena, R.K. and Kumbhat, R.K.; Fractional Integration operators of two variables, The Proc. Of the Indian Academy of Sci., 78 Sec. A (1973),177-186.