Effect of *Trust* and *Perceived Risk* on *Intention To Use Instagram* as a Media Promotion

Eduard da Costa¹, RinabiTanamal²

Department of Information Multimedia Technology, Faculty of Creative Industry, University of Ciputra, UC Town Citraland, Surabaya - Indonesia, 60219

Abstract: The development of technology is now developing very rapidly, the use of technology is already used in various fields, as well as in the field of marketing, who use technology as a media to promote and market the product. The increasing number of internet users, is expected to affect people's behavior to make a purchase, from purchasing conventional to E - Commerce. To make a transaction or customer participation is need the trust of each customer. Instagram is one of the social media is changing as technology develops that into online marketing media and usefull for E - Commerce. In this research, the Technology Acceptance Model theory with using the extended variable that trust and perceived risk in order to find the influence of trust in the purchase or intention to purchase on E - Commerce through social media instagram. The data collection technique used was a survey using a questionnaire. Prior to the data analysis, the authors to test the validity and reliability by using SPSS software. The results obtained by researchers of this study is the perception ease of use application for users in using the Instagram app as media E-commerce is a significant effect on a person's desire to use Instagram as a E-Commerce.

Keywords: Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Trust, Perceived Risk, E-Commerce, Instagram

I. INTRODUCTION

Media communication is very important in the life of the community. The process of sending information in this golden age is very sophisticated. Telecommunication technology is the most sought after to deliver or transmit information or news because telecommunications technology is growing, faster, precise, accurate, easy, cheap, effective and efficient. There are several functions of high-tech communication media that is efficient information dissemination will become more efficient. With the existence of high-tech communication media, we can make information or message more powerful memorable to the audience. High-tech communication media is certainly more fun (for the familiar) and can provide entertainment for the audience and will have more supervisory functions on social policy. Instagram becomes one of the social media applications with many users and continues to increase in each year and become one of the applications that users most often open. Instagram became one of the social media samples received by the public and used as a media campaign or online marketing that makes popping up various online stores that sell goods or services. With the features that exist in the instagram make the e-commerce and consumers become easier on the process of promotion and their transactions. The hashtag feature makes searching for items desired by users easier and faster.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The test used in this research is outlier test, normality test, validity test, and reliability test. Outlier test and normality test are fused in the assumption test. The test is processed using SPSS version 20. Respondent's data were obtained from 202 Instagram users in Indonesia.

Assumptions Test

Normality test is done by looking at skewness and kurtosis. The level of skewness significance at the values of -3 to +3 and the degree of significance of kurtosis are in the values of -7 to +7 (Kline, 2005). Outlier tests are data that have unique characteristics that look very different from other data, and appears in the form of extreme values for either a single variable or combination variables. Observation of data that has *z*-score value \geq 3,00 will be categorized as outliers. The 3.00 threshold is referring to Hair *et al* (1995) which explains that for the data with the number of observations (samples) greater than 80 then the *z*-score value limits should be used 3 or 4 (Hair *et al. in* Wibowo, 2005).

Validity Test

Validity shows the extent to which a measuring instrument is able to measure what it wants to measure (Syofian, 2010). Meanwhile, according to (Kuncoro, 2009), validity is a measurement scale called valid when doing what should be done and measuring what should be measured. The instrument can be declared valid if its significance value <0.05.

Reliability Test

Reliability is used to determine the extent to which the measurement results remain consistent, if two or more measurements are taken against the same symptoms using the same measuring instrument (Syofian, 2010). A variable can be said reliably if the value of cronbach alpha> 0.70 (George & Mallery, 2003).

Cronbach's Alpha	Interpretation
$\alpha \ge 0.9$	Very Good
$0.8 \le \alpha < 0.9$	Good
$0.7 \le \alpha < 0.8$	Acceptable
$0.6 \le \alpha < 0.7$	Questionable
$0.5 \le \alpha < 0.6$	Not Good
α < 0.5	Not Acceptable

Table 1. Interpretation of Cronbach Alpha Numbers

Correlation Analysis

Correlation is done to measure the strength of the relationship between two variables with certain scales. Correlation is declared unidirectional if correlation coefficient value found positive; Otherwise if the value of negative correlation coefficient, correlation is not called unidirectional.

Path Analysis

Path analysis is an extension of the regression model used to test the correlation matrix matches against two or more causal models of relationships that are being compared by the researchers (Garson, 2008). After testing the validity, reliability test and assumption test using SPSS application, it will be done Path Diagram Test by using AMOS application.

Goodness-of-Fit, used to measure the compatibility of observational inputs with predictions of the proposed model (Ghozali, 2011). *Goodness-of-fit* from the statistical model illustrates how suitable the model with a set of observations. The goodness-of-fit index summarizes the difference between the observed values and expected values under the statistical model. Goodness-of-fit statistics are goodness-of-fit indexes with known sampling distributions, usually obtained using asymptotic methods, used in statistical hypothesis testing. As large sample estimates may work poorly in small samples, many studies use simulated studies that have been devoted to research with p-value conditions of accurate goodness-of-fit. Aspects that must be met are like the table below.

Model Fit Statistik	Interpretasi
Model Chi-Square (CMIN)	Small numbers with $p > 0.05$
Normed Chi-square (CMIN/DF)	< 3
RMR (Root Mean Square Residual)	Near Zero
GFI (Goodness of Fit Index)	> 0.90
AGFI (Adjusted GFI)	> 0.90
NFI (Normed Fit Index)	> 0.90
IFI (Incremental Fit Index)	> 0.90
CFI (Comparative Fit Index)	> 0.90
RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error of	< 0.05
Approximation)	

|--|

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

After conducting a series of tests, the results obtained that valid data used from a total of 202 respondents that amounted to 179 users Instagram in Indonesia. Survey done by using google form.

Assumptions Test

Normality test (Skewness and Kurtosis)

For Trust (T) variables listed as in the table below (Table 3) in accordance with the expected standards, ie the level of significance of skewness is at the value of -3 to +3 and the level of significance of kurtosis is in the values of -7 to +7.

Table 5. Skewness and Kultosis Trust (1) variable				
	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	
T1	0,891	-0,068	-0,215	
T2	0,938	-0,148	-0,548	
T3	0,871	-0,070	-0,410	
T4	0,812	-0,069	-0,406	

Table 3. Skewness and Kurtosis Trust (T) Variable

For Perceived Risk (PR) variables listed as in the table below (Table 4) in accordance with the expected standards, ie the level of significance of skewness is at the value of -3 to +3 and the level of significance of kurtosis is at the value of -7 to +7.

Table 4. Skewness and Kurtosis Perceived Risk (PR) Variable

able 4. Skewness and Raitosis i creerved Risk (i R) van				
	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	
PR1	0,865	-0,228	-0,966	
PR2	0,915	-0,127	-0,768	
PR3	0,895	0,110	-0,284	

For Perceived Usefulness (PU) variables listed as in the table below (Table 5) in accordance with the expected standards, ie the level of skewness significance is at the value of -3 to +3 and the level of significance of kurtosis is at the value of -7 to +7.

	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
PU1	0,825	-0,108	-0,087
PU2	0,867	-0,434	0,286
PU3	0,825	-0,067	-0,343
PU4	0,924	-0,147	-0,362
PU5	0,914	-0,582	0,778

Table 5. Skewness and Kurtosis Perceived Usefulness (PU) Variable

For Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) variables are listed as in the table below (Table 6) according to expected standards, ie the level of skewness significance is in the values of -3 to +3 and the level of significance of kurtosis is in the values of -7 to +7.

Fable 6. Skewness and	Kurtosis	Perceived	Ease of	Use	(PEOU)	Variable
-----------------------	----------	-----------	---------	-----	--------	----------

	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
PEOU1	0,566	-0,208	-0,747
PEOU2	0,663	0,051	-0,216
PEOU3	0,648	-0,359	-0,727
PEOU4	0,796	-0,472	0,065
PEOU5	0,752	-0,214	-0,806

As for Intention to Use (ITU) variables listed as in the table below in accordance with the expected standards, namely the level of skewness significance is at the value of -3 to +3 and the level of significance of kurtosis is at the value of -7 to +7.

Table 7. Skewness and Kurtosis Intention to Use (ITU) Variable

	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
ITU1	0.733	-0.935	1.482
ITU2	0.801	-0.955	1.411
ITU3	0.847	-0.771	0.692

Outlier Test

For z-scores of each of the TPR, PU, PEOU, and ITU variables tested have met the specified standards.

Validity Test

The test was developed by calculating the total of mean scores of Pearson test. Below will be listed tables of correlation test results (Table 8).

Tuble 0. I carbon Test of Trust variables					
	T1	T2	T3	T4	
T1	1	.554**	.603**	.609**	
T2	.554**	1	.570**	.834**	
T3	.603**	.593**	1	.842**	
T4	.609**	.601**	.621**	1	
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)					

Table 8. Pearson Test of Trust Variable

It can be seen that all sub-variables are significant and correlate to each other.

Table 9. Pearson test of Perceived Risk Variable

	PR1	PR2	PR3
PR1	1	.701**	.250**
PR2	.701**	1	.212**
PR3	.250**	.212**	1
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)			

It can be seen that all sub-variables are significant and correlate to each other.

Table 10. Pearson test of Perceived Usefu	Iness Variables
---	-----------------

	PU1	PU2	PU3	PU4	PU5
PU1	1	.627**	.594**	.509**	.555**
PU2	.627**	1	.714**	.714**	.612**
PU3	.594**	.789**	1	.709**	.665**
PU4	.509**	.749**	.805**	1	.648**
PU5	.555**	.724**	.805**	.850**	1
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).					

It can be seen that all sub-variables are significant and correlate to each other.

Table 11. Pearson test of Perceived Ease of Use Variables					
	PEOU	PEOU	PEOU	PEOU	PEOU
	1	2	3	4	5
PEOU1	1	.760**	.598**	.464**	.384**
PEOU2	.598**	1	.625**	.542**	.667**
PEOU3	.604**	.625**	1	.535**	.515**
PEOU4	.464**	.542**	.535**	1	.687**
PEOU5	.384**	.667**	.515**	.687**	1
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)					

m 11 44 b

It can be seen that all sub-variables are significant and correlate to each other.

Table 12. Pearson test of the Intention to Use Variable

	ITU1	ITU2	ITU3
ITU1	1	.653**	.382**
ITU2	.653**	1	.480**
ITU3	.382**	.595**	1
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).			

It is seen that all sub-variables are significant and correlate to each other.

Tuble 1011 curbon Test unlong un vurlubles					
	Т	PR	PU	PEOU	ITU
Т	0,159	1			
PR	-0,069	-0,066	1		
PU	0,114	0337**	-0,714*	1	
PEOU	0,088	0,336**	0,115	0,313**	1
ITU	0,152	0,579**	-0,140	0,607**	0,575**
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)					

Table 13. Pearson Test among all variables

The test result between all variables shows that all hypothesized variables have correlation with each other.

Reliability Test

ReliabilityTest is done by looking at the value of Cronbach Alpha. If the value above 0.7 then it can be considered reliable or acceptable for research. After tested obtained Cronbach Alpha value for this data as table below.

Table 14. Test Reliability for all variables		
Variables	Value of Cronbach Alpha	
Trust	0.852	
Perceived Risk	0.662	
Perceived Usefulness	0.923	
Perceived Ease of Use	0.891	
Intention to Use	0.742	

The results obtained are all variables have reliable data for use in the study because the value obtained is above 0.7.

Test of Fit Model Using SOSS Amos Software

Figure 1 is an initial model created in AMOS.



Figure 1. AMOS initial model

Figure 2 is a modified model in AMOS.



Figure 2. Model After Relation T to PR Removed

In Figure 2 above, after the relationship T to PR removed the results obtained fit model test as in the table below below.

Table 15. Results Table of Initial Fit Test			
Goodness of Fit Index	Value Obtained	Result	
Chi-Square (CMIN)	46,896 and P = 0	Not Good	
Normed Chi-Square (CMIN/DF)	15,632	Not Good	
RMR (Root Mean Square Residual)	0,033	Not Good	
GFI (Goodness of Fit Index)	0,912	Good	
AGFI (Adjusted GFI)	0,561	Not Good	
NFI(Normed Fit Index)	0,807	Not Good	
IFI (Incremental Fit Index)	0,817	Not Good	
CFI (Comparative Fit Index)	0,811	Good	
RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error of Approximation)	0,287	Not Good	

In table 15 above, the value of CMIN is still large that is 46,896 and P value is still below 0,05 ie 0.000. The value of CMIN / DF shows the value of 15.632, so it still does not meet the required conditions under 3. Then the value of RMR, GFI, and AGFI obtained as shown in table 16 below. The RMR value obtained is 0.027, the GFI value is 0.946, and the AGFI value is 0.796. The value of RMR and GFI can be said to be good however, the value of AGFI is still below 0.90 so the model is still not good.



Figure 3. Model After Adding Relations

In Figure 3 below shows the modified model by adding 2 relations in the relation from PR to ITU and T to ITU. However the relation from PR to ITU is omitted because the value of P obtained shows a number of 0.058. Therefore, the relations does not show significant correlation. Figure 3 is also the last modification.

Goodness of Fit Index	Value Obtained	Result	
Chi-Square (CMIN)	4,584 and $P = 0,101$	Good	
Normed Chi-Square (CMIN/DF)	2,292	Good	
RMR (Root Mean Square Residual)	0,014	Good	
GFI (Goodness of Fit Index)	0,990	Good	
AGFI (Adjusted GFI)	0,925	Good	
NFI(Normed Fit Index)	0,981	Good	
IFI (Incremental Fit Index)	0,989	Good	
CFI (Comparative Fit Index)	0,989	Good	
RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error of Approximation)	0,085	Good	

Table 16 Fit Test Results After Final Modification
--

Based on the fit test results in Table 16 that the model has been eligible and the model is feasible for use.

IV. CONCLUSION

AllExogenous Variables of Trust, Perceived Risk, Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use have an influence on Intention to Use. There are modifications to the model, due to a recommendation to add two relations ie: Trust has a significant positive influence on Intention to Use, and Perceived Risk has a significant negative impact on Intention to Use. Exogenous variables that have greatest influence on the intent of use are Perceived Ease Of Use variable. Thus if the higher level of perception of ease of someone in using Instagram then the intention of the person in using Instagram will be higher.

REFERENCES

- [1] Garson, D. G. (2008). Factor Analysis: Statnotes. Retrieved June 6, 2017, from North Carolina State University Public Administration Program, http://www2.chass.ncsu.edu/garson/pa765/factor.htm.
- [2] George, D., and Mallery, P. (2003). SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference 11.0 update (4th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- [3] Ghozali, I. (2011). Structural Equation Model: Concepts & Applications With AMOS 19.0 Program. Semarang: Diponegoro University
- [4] Hair J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., and Anderson, R.E. (1995), Multivariate Data Analysis With Reading, Fourth Edition, Prentice Hall. New Jersey
- [5] Kline, R.B. (2011). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling (3rd ed.). New York : The Guilford Press.
- [6] Kuncoro, M. (2009). Research Methods ForBusiness and Economics. Jakarta:Erland
- [7] Syofian, S (2010). Descriptive statistics for research. Jakarta: RajagrafindoPersada.
- [8] Wibowo, T. (2005). The Influence of Partnership and Communication Against the Effectiveness of Distribution Channels and Its Implications on Marketing Performance. Semarang: Diponegoro University.