International Journal of Engineering Inventions
e-ISSN: 2278-7461, p-ISSN: 2319-6491
Volume 13, Issue 9 [September. 2024] PP: 248-254

Energy-Efficient Building Envelopes for Affordable Housing: Design Strategies and Material Choices

Abiodun Benedict Adeyemi[1], Tochi Chimaobi Ohakawa[2], Azubuike Chukwudi Okwandu[3], Obinna Iwuanyanwu[4], Gil-Ozoudeh Ifechukwu[5]

[1] Coupons Retail Ltd, Lagos. Nigeria
[2] Independent Researcher, Washington DC, USA
[3] Arkifill Resources Limited, Portharcourt, Rivers State Nigeria
[4] Independent Researcher, Delta State, Nigeria
[5] Department of Architecture, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria
Corresponding author: Gr8fuldicta@outlook.com

Abstract
This paper explores designing and implementing energy-efficient building envelopes specifically for affordable housing. It examines key design principles, material choices, and construction techniques that enhance thermal performance, reduce energy consumption, and lower overall costs. The study emphasizes the importance of selecting materials that balance cost efficiency with high thermal resistance, such as fiberglass and innovative bio-based insulations. Construction strategies like advanced framing, continuous insulation, and prefabrication are analyzed for their effectiveness in improving energy efficiency while maintaining affordability. Case studies from project experience demonstrate the practical application of these methods, highlighting significant energy savings and improved living conditions. The paper concludes with recommendations for future affordable housing projects, advocating for adopting sustainable materials and construction practices that provide long-term benefits. Through this research, the paper contributes to the ongoing efforts to create affordable, sustainable, and energy-efficient housing solutions.
***Keywords**: Energy-efficient building envelopes, Affordable housing, Thermal performance, Material selection, Construction techniques*

Date of Submission: 09-09-2024 Date of acceptance: 25-09-2024

I. Introduction

Energy efficiency is a critical consideration in the development of affordable housing, particularly as global efforts to mitigate climate change intensify. Affordable housing, by its very nature, aims to provide economically disadvantaged communities with cost-effective and sustainable homes. However, the challenge lies in balancing affordability with energy efficiency—two goals that are often perceived as conflicting (Lewis, Hernández, & Geronimus, 2020). Energy-efficient buildings typically require higher upfront investments in materials and construction techniques. However, they offer long-term savings through reduced energy consumption. As energy costs continue to rise and governments worldwide implement stricter building codes and sustainability standards, the importance of incorporating energy efficiency into affordable housing cannot be overstated (Hafez et al., 2023).

The building envelope, which includes the roof, walls, windows, doors, and foundation, plays a pivotal role in a home's thermal performance. It acts as a barrier between the interior and exterior environments, regulating the flow of heat, air, and moisture (Elhadad & Orban, 2021). A well-designed building envelope minimizes heat loss in the winter and heat gain in the summer, reducing the need for artificial heating and cooling. This, in turn, lowers energy consumption and costs, making homes more affordable for low-income residents. Moreover, energy-efficient envelopes improve indoor comfort and health by maintaining consistent indoor temperatures and reducing the infiltration of pollutants and allergens (Moghayedi et al., 2021).

Given the significance of energy efficiency in affordable housing and the central role of building envelopes in achieving it, this paper focuses on the design strategies and material choices that can enhance the thermal performance of building envelopes. The aim is to outline a framework that guides the selection of materials and construction techniques to improve energy efficiency while keeping costs manageable. The project experience informs this framework. It is intended to provide practical insights for architects, builders, and policymakers involved in affordable housing projects (Jia et al., 2021).

Energy efficiency is crucial in affordable housing because it directly impacts residents' long-term cost of living. For low-income households, energy costs can represent a significant portion of monthly expenses. By reducing energy consumption through efficient design and materials, affordable housing can offer lower initial costs and ongoing financial relief for residents. Furthermore, energy-efficient homes are more resilient to fluctuations in energy prices, providing greater financial stability to occupants. In a broader context, improving energy efficiency in affordable housing contributes to environmental sustainability by reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with energy use (Zheng et al., 2022).

The building envelope is critical in determining a home's thermal performance. It is the first line of defense against external weather conditions and is responsible for maintaining a stable indoor environment. The effectiveness of a building envelope in regulating temperature is influenced by several factors, including the thermal properties of the materials used, the quality of construction, and the design of the building itself. For instance, materials with high thermal mass can absorb and store heat, releasing it slowly to help maintain a comfortable indoor temperature. Insulation is another key element, as it reduces heat transfer between the interior and exterior, keeping homes warmer in winter and cooler in summer (Tabet Aoul, Hagi, Abdelghani, Syam, & Akhozheya, 2021). The building envelope also plays a vital role in controlling air leakage, significantly impacting energy efficiency. Uncontrolled air leakage through cracks and gaps in the envelope can lead to significant heat loss in winter and heat gain in summer, forcing heating and cooling systems to work harder and consume more energy. Designing and constructing airtight envelopes with appropriate ventilation systems can minimize energy losses while ensuring adequate indoor air quality (Ortiz, Itard, & Bluyssen, 2020).

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive framework for designing energy-efficient building envelopes for affordable housing. The primary focus is on selecting materials and construction techniques that enhance thermal performance, reduce energy consumption, and lower costs. The framework will be based on practical insights gained from project experience, ensuring that the recommendations are theoretically sound and feasible in real-world applications.

The scope of the paper includes a detailed analysis of various materials that can be used in building envelopes, assessing their thermal properties, cost-effectiveness, and environmental impact. It will also examine different construction techniques that can optimize the performance of these materials, particularly in the context of affordable housing, where budget constraints are a significant concern. Additionally, the paper will discuss the broader implications of adopting energy-efficient envelopes in affordable housing, including the potential benefits for residents, builders, and policymakers.

II. Design Principles for Energy-Efficient Building Envelopes

Designing energy-efficient building envelopes for affordable housing involves careful consideration of various principles that optimize thermal performance while keeping costs within reasonable limits. As the primary barrier between the indoor and outdoor environments, the building envelope must be designed to minimize energy losses and gains, ensuring that the indoor climate remains stable and comfortable with minimal reliance on mechanical heating and cooling systems. To achieve this, several key design strategies can be employed, including the use of passive design elements such as orientation, insulation, and shading, as well as the integration of natural ventilation and daylighting.

2.1 Key Design Strategies for Optimizing Energy Efficiency

The primary objective of an energy-efficient building envelope is to reduce the overall energy demand of a building by limiting heat transfer and air leakage while maximizing the benefits of natural environmental conditions (Al-Yasiri & Szabó, 2021). One of the most effective strategies for optimizing energy efficiency is to enhance the thermal performance of the building envelope. This can be achieved by selecting materials with high thermal resistance (R-value) for walls, roofs, and floors, which helps minimize heat loss during cold weather and heat gain during hot weather. The choice of materials is critical, as they must provide adequate insulation and be cost-effective and suitable for the local climate and construction practices (Tripathi & Shukla, 2024).

Another key strategy is to design airtight building envelopes that minimize air infiltration and exfiltration. Air leaks can significantly reduce the efficiency of a building's heating and cooling systems by allowing conditioned air to escape and unconditioned air to enter. To prevent this, careful attention must be paid to sealing all joints, gaps, and openings in the building envelope, including around windows, doors, and utility penetrations. The use of advanced sealing materials and techniques, such as spray foam insulation and weatherstripping, can help achieve a high level of airtightness, contributing to lower energy consumption and improved indoor comfort (Gupta & Deb, 2023).

2.2 Passive Design Elements Such as Orientation, Insulation, and Shading

Passive design elements play a crucial role in enhancing the energy efficiency of building envelopes by harnessing natural energy sources and minimizing reliance on mechanical systems. One of the most important passive design considerations is the orientation of the building. The orientation determines the amount of sunlight that enters the building, which can significantly impact its thermal performance (Rashad, Khordehgah, Żabnieńska-Góra, Ahmad, & Jouhara, 2021). In cooler climates, buildings should be oriented to maximize solar gain, with large windows facing south to capture sunlight during the winter months. In warmer climates, the building should be oriented to minimize solar gain, with smaller windows or shading devices on the south and west facades to reduce heat buildup (Duraković, 2020).

Insulation is another critical component of passive design. Proper insulation reduces heat transfer through the building envelope, helping to maintain a stable indoor temperature regardless of external conditions. The type and thickness of insulation materials should be selected based on the local climate and the specific needs of the building (Y. Yang & Chen, 2022). For example, thicker insulation with a higher R-value may be required in cold climates to prevent heat loss. In contrast, reflective insulation that blocks radiant heat may be more effective in hot climates. Additionally, the placement of insulation is important; it should be installed continuously around the building envelope, including in walls, roofs, and floors, to avoid thermal bridging, which can lead to significant energy losses (Fawaier & Bokor, 2022).

Shading devices are another essential passive design element, particularly in regions with high solar radiation. Shading can be achieved through a variety of means, including overhangs, awnings, pergolas, and vegetation (Evangelisti, Guattari, Asdrubali, & de Lieto Vollaro, 2020). These devices help to block direct sunlight from entering the building during the hottest part of the day, reducing the need for air conditioning and improving occupant comfort. Additionally, using reflective or tinted glazing on windows can further reduce solar heat gain while allowing natural light to enter the building (Zhang, Yang, Suonam, Dong, & Liu, 2022).

2.3 Integration of Natural Ventilation and Daylighting

In addition to controlling heat transfer, an energy-efficient building envelope should also facilitate the use of natural ventilation and daylighting to reduce reliance on mechanical systems. Natural ventilation takes advantage of pressure differences and temperature gradients to move fresh air through the building, reducing the need for artificial cooling and improving indoor air quality (Stasi, Ruggiero, & Berardi, 2024). To effectively incorporate natural ventilation into the building envelope design, careful consideration must be given to the placement and size of windows, vents, and other openings. Cross-ventilation, where air enters through one side of the building and exits through the other, is particularly effective in maintaining comfortable indoor temperatures during the warmer months (Ahmed, Kumar, & Mottet, 2021).

Daylighting, the practice of using natural light to illuminate indoor spaces, is another important aspect of energy-efficient envelope design. By maximizing natural light, buildings can reduce the need for artificial lighting, lowering energy consumption. The key to effective daylighting is to balance the amount of natural light entering the building with the need to control glare and heat gain. This can be achieved by strategically placing windows, skylights, and light shelves, as well as using reflective surfaces and light-colored finishes that help distribute light evenly throughout the space (Mebarki, Djakab, Mokhtarii, & Amrane, 2021).

The building envelope can also be designed to facilitate night cooling in regions with a significant difference between day and night temperatures. This passive cooling strategy involves ventilating the building at night to expel heat absorbed during the day (Lee, Matusiak, Geisler-Moroder, Selkowitz, & Heschong, 2022). This strategy can be particularly effective in dry climates, where night temperatures tend to drop significantly. By incorporating operable windows, vents, or thermal chimneys into the building envelope, designers can enable the building to cool down naturally overnight, reducing the need for mechanical cooling during the day (Bhai, Abdelkader, Neseem, & Mustafa, 2022).

III. Material Choices for Thermal Performance and Cost Efficiency

3.1 Overview of Materials That Enhance Thermal Performance

The thermal performance of a building envelope is largely determined by the materials used in its construction. Materials with high thermal resistance, or R-value, are particularly effective at reducing heat transfer between the interior and exterior of a building. This resistance helps maintain a stable indoor temperature, reducing the need for heating and cooling systems. Common materials used for their insulating properties include fiberglass, foam board, mineral wool, and cellulose. These materials have unique characteristics that make them suitable for different applications and climates (Ismaiel, Chen, Cruz-Noguez, & Hagel, 2022).

Fiberglass insulation is one of the most widely used materials due to its high R-value, affordability, and ease of installation. It is composed of fine glass fibers and is available in various forms, including batts, rolls, and loose-fill. Fiberglass is particularly effective in reducing heat loss in cold climates and is often used in walls, attics, and floors (Zingre, Kumar, Wan, & Chao, 2021). Foam board insulation, made from polystyrene or polyurethane, offers even higher R-values and is commonly used in areas where space is limited, such as exterior walls or foundation slabs. Mineral wool, made from natural or synthetic fibers, provides excellent thermal performance and is also known for its fire-resistant properties, making it a preferred choice in regions prone to wildfires (Saber & Yarbrough, 2021).

Cellulose insulation, derived from recycled paper products, is another material that enhances thermal performance while being environmentally friendly. It is typically used in wall cavities and attics, which can be blown in to create a dense, insulating barrier (Wu et al., 2022). Cellulose has the added benefit of being treated with fire retardants, further improving its safety profile. While these materials effectively reduce heat transfer, the choice of material must also consider other factors such as cost, availability, and ease of installation, particularly in the context of affordable housing (Soto, Rojas, & Cárdenas-Ramírez, 2022).

3.2 Analysis of Cost-Effective Materials Suitable for Affordable Housing

Cost is a major consideration in the selection of materials for affordable housing. The goal is to find a balance between thermal performance and affordability, ensuring that the materials chosen do not compromise the project's overall budget (Tubelo, Rodrigues, Gillott, & Zune, 2021). Some of the most cost-effective materials for thermal insulation include fiberglass, cellulose, and polystyrene foam. These materials offer a favorable combination of low cost and high thermal resistance, making them ideal for use in affordable housing projects (Dickson & Pavía, 2021).

Fiberglass insulation, for example, is one of the most economical options available. Its low cost per square foot and high R-value make it a popular choice for insulating walls, attics, and floors. Additionally, fiberglass is readily available in most markets, and its installation is relatively straightforward, further reducing labor costs. Cellulose insulation is another cost-effective option, particularly in retrofitting existing buildings. Its use of recycled materials also makes it an environmentally conscious choice, aligning with the growing demand for sustainable construction practices (Kumar, Alam, Zou, Sanjayan, & Memon, 2020).

Polystyrene foam, available in both expanded (EPS) and extruded (XPS) forms, is slightly more expensive than fiberglass and cellulose but offers superior thermal performance, particularly in areas where space is at a premium. Its rigidity and moisture resistance make it suitable for use in foundations, exterior walls, and roofs. While the upfront cost of polystyrene foam may be higher, its long-term benefits in terms of energy savings can offset the initial investment, making it a viable option for affordable housing where energy efficiency is a priority (Kedzierski, Le Maguer, Maffessoli, & Bruzaud, 2021).

However, cost-effectiveness is not solely determined by the initial price of the material. The long-term durability and maintenance requirements of the materials must also be considered. Materials that require frequent replacement or maintenance can drive up the overall cost of the building over time, negating any initial savings. For this reason, materials such as mineral wool, which offer both high thermal performance and durability, may be more cost-effective in the long run despite their higher upfront cost (Nagy, 2020).

3.3 Comparison of Traditional and Innovative Materials, Including Their Environmental Impact

The selection of materials for building envelopes has traditionally been guided by factors such as cost, availability, and ease of use. However, as environmental concerns become increasingly prominent, the environmental impact of these materials is now a critical consideration in material selection. While effective in terms of thermal performance, traditional materials such as concrete, brick, and fiberglass often have significant environmental footprints due to their energy-intensive production processes and non-renewable raw materials (A. A. Akinsulire, C. Idemudia, A. C. Okwandu, & O. Iwuanyanwu, 2024a). Concrete, for instance, is one of the most widely used construction materials globally. Nevertheless, its production is responsible for substantial global carbon emissions. While concrete offers excellent thermal mass, which helps maintain stable indoor temperatures, its environmental impact has led to a search for more sustainable alternatives. Brick, another traditional material, also has a high environmental cost due to the energy required for firing. However, brick's durability and thermal performance make it a long-lasting option that can reduce the need for repairs and replacements, potentially offsetting its initial environmental impact (Miller & Moore, 2020).

Innovative materials, such as aerogels, phase-change materials (PCMs), and bio-based insulations, offer promising alternatives to traditional materials. Aerogels, made from silica, are known for their extremely low thermal conductivity, making them one of the most effective insulating materials available (Rashid et al., 2023). However, their high cost currently limits their use in affordable housing. PCMs, which absorb and release thermal energy during phase transitions, can be integrated into building envelopes to help regulate indoor temperatures. These materials are particularly useful in climates with significant temperature fluctuations, as they can reduce the need for heating and cooling systems (Naresh, Parameshwaran, & Ram, 2020).

Bio-based insulations, such as those made from hemp, wool, or straw, offer both thermal performance and sustainability. These materials are derived from renewable resources and have low embodied energy, meaning the energy required to produce them is minimal. Additionally, bio-based insulations often have excellent moisture-regulating properties, improving indoor air quality and reducing the risk of mold and mildew. While these materials are still emerging in the market, they represent a growing trend toward environmentally responsible construction practices.

When comparing traditional and innovative materials, it is clear that while traditional materials offer proven performance and cost-effectiveness, they often come with significant environmental costs. On the other hand, innovative materials offer the potential for enhanced thermal performance and reduced environmental impact. However, they may come at a higher initial cost or may not yet be widely available. Therefore, the choice of material for affordable housing projects requires careful consideration of both performance and sustainability, balancing the need for cost-efficiency with the imperative to reduce environmental impact (A. Akinsulire, C. Idemudia, A. Okwandu, & O. Iwuanyanwu, 2024a, 2024b).

IV. Construction Techniques and Best Practices

4.1 Examination of Construction Methods That Support Energy-Efficient Designs

The construction methods used in building envelopes are integral to achieving energy efficiency. One of the most effective methods is advanced framing techniques, also known as optimum value engineering (OVE) (Miles, 2015). This method reduces the amount of lumber used in the construction of walls, lowering material costs and increasing the space available for insulation. Placing studs further apart and using single top plates creates fewer thermal bridges, allowing for better overall insulation. OVE is particularly beneficial in affordable housing projects because it reduces material waste and labor costs while improving the thermal performance of the building (Meil, Lucuik, O'Connor, & Dangerfield, 2006).

Another construction technique that supports energy efficiency is the use of continuous insulation. Traditional insulation methods often leave gaps and thermal bridges where heat can escape or enter the building. Continuous insulation, which involves wrapping the entire building envelope in an insulating layer, eliminates these weak points. This method ensures a more uniform thermal barrier, reducing heat loss in the winter and heat gain in the summer. Continuous insulation is especially effective when used with air barriers and vapor retarders, which prevent moisture buildup and air infiltration, further enhancing the building envelope's energy efficiency (Al-Homoud, 2005).

In addition to advanced framing and continuous insulation, prefabricated components have gained popularity in the construction of energy-efficient building envelopes. Prefabrication involves manufacturing building components in a controlled factory environment and then assembling them on-site. This method allows for precise construction, reducing the likelihood of errors that can lead to energy inefficiencies. (Wentzel, 2010) Prefabricated components can be designed with high-performance insulation and airtightness in mind, ensuring that the finished building meets or exceeds energy efficiency standards. Moreover, the speed of construction is significantly increased, reducing labor costs and minimizing disruptions on-site, which is particularly advantageous in affordable housing projects where time and budget are critical factors (Naoum, 2016).

4.2 Balance Between Material Efficiency, Construction Speed, and Cost

Balancing material efficiency, construction speed, and cost is a complex but necessary task in the construction of energy-efficient building envelopes for affordable housing. Material efficiency involves using resources to maximize their utility while minimizing waste. This approach not only reduces costs but also supports sustainability by conserving natural resources and reducing the environmental impact of construction. However, material efficiency must be carefully managed to avoid compromising the quality or performance of the building envelope (A. A. Akinsulire, C. Idemudia, A. C. Okwandu, & O. Iwuanyanwu, 2024b, 2024c).

Construction speed is another crucial factor, particularly in affordable housing projects with tight time constraints. Faster construction times can reduce labor costs and allow for quicker occupancy, which is beneficial for both developers and residents. However, there is a risk that prioritizing speed over quality can lead to shortcuts that compromise energy efficiency. For example, rushing the installation of insulation or air barriers can result in gaps or misalignments that reduce the effectiveness of the building envelope. Therefore, it is essential to ensure that construction practices are not only efficient but also thorough and precise (Ezennia, 2022).

Cost, of course, is always a primary consideration in affordable housing. The challenge is achieving energy efficiency within a limited budget's constraints. This often requires carefully selecting materials and construction methods that offer the best balance of cost and performance. For instance, while high-performance insulation materials like spray foam may offer superior thermal performance, their higher cost might be prohibitive in some projects. In such cases, alternative materials like fiberglass or cellulose, which provide good thermal resistance at a lower cost, may be more appropriate. Additionally, the long-term energy savings achieved through improved insulation and airtightness must be factored into the overall cost-benefit analysis, as these savings can offset higher initial costs over time (S. Yang, Cho, Yun, Hong, & Kim, 2021).

V. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

The selection of materials is paramount to the success of energy-efficient building envelopes. Materials with high thermal resistance, such as fiberglass, foam board, and mineral wool, are effective in reducing heat transfer, thereby maintaining a stable indoor environment and lowering energy consumption. When chosen judiciously, these materials can provide both cost efficiency and high performance, making them ideal for affordable housing projects where budget constraints are significant.

Furthermore, innovative materials such as aerogels and bio-based insulations offer enhanced thermal performance and sustainability. Although some of these materials may carry higher initial costs, their long-term benefits in terms of energy savings and environmental impact make them worthy of consideration in the broader context of sustainable development.

On the construction side, techniques like advanced framing, continuous insulation, and prefabrication have proven to be highly effective in supporting energy-efficient designs. Advanced framing reduces material use while maximizing insulation space, continuous insulation eliminates thermal bridges, and prefabrication enhances precision and construction speed. These methods improve the energy efficiency of the building envelope and contribute to overall cost savings and faster project delivery—key considerations in affordable housing.

5.2 Recommendations for Future Projects in Affordable Housing

Several recommendations can be made for future affordable housing projects that aim to integrate energy-efficient building envelopes. First, it is essential to prioritize material choices that offer the best balance of cost, thermal performance, and environmental impact. While traditional materials like fiberglass and cellulose will continue to be valuable, there is a growing need to incorporate innovative materials that enhance sustainability, such as bio-based insulations. These materials support energy efficiency and align with the increasing demand for eco-friendly construction practices.

Second, construction techniques that have demonstrated success in energy efficiency should be more widely adopted in affordable housing projects. Advanced framing and continuous insulation, in particular, should be standard practices, as they offer significant improvements in thermal performance without incurring prohibitive costs. Additionally, prefabricated components should be explored further, especially in regions with high labor costs or a need for rapid construction.

Finally, it is crucial to consider the long-term benefits of energy efficiency when planning affordable housing projects. While some energy-efficient materials and techniques may require higher initial investments, the resulting energy savings, and improved durability can offset these costs over time. Therefore, a holistic approach that considers upfront costs and long-term benefits will be essential in delivering affordable housing that meets financial and environmental objectives.

References

[1]. Ahmed, T., Kumar, P., & Mottet, L. (2021). Natural ventilation in warm climates: The challenges of thermal comfort, heatwave resilience and indoor air quality. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 138, 110669.

[2]. Akinsulire, A., Idemudia, C., Okwandu, A., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024a). Dynamic financial modeling and feasibility studies for affordable housing policies: A conceptual synthesis. International Journal of Advanced Economics, 6(7), 288-305.

[3]. Akinsulire, A., Idemudia, C., Okwandu, A., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024b). Public-Private partnership frameworks for financing affordable housing: Lessons and models. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(7), 2314-2331.

[4]. Akinsulire, A. A., Idemudia, C., Okwandu, A. C., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024a). Strategic planning and investment analysis for affordable housing: Enhancing viability and growth. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 11(2), 119-131.

[5]. Akinsulire, A. A., Idemudia, C., Okwandu, A. C., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024b). Supply chain management and operational efficiency in affordable housing: An integrated review. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 11(2), 105-118.

[6]. Akinsulire, A. A., Idemudia, C., Okwandu, A. C., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024c). Sustainable development in affordable housing: Policy innovations and challenges. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 11(2), 090-104.

[7]. Al-Homoud, M. S. (2005). Performance characteristics and practical applications of common building thermal insulation materials. Building and Environment, 40(3), 353-366.

[8]. Al-Yasiri, Q., & Szabó, M. (2021). Incorporation of phase change materials into building envelope for thermal comfort and energy saving: A comprehensive analysis. Journal of Building engineering, 36, 102122.

[9]. Bhai, M. A., Abdelkader, M., Neseem, A., & Mustafa, A. (2022). Impact of the Geometric Form of the Building Envelopes on The efficiency of Natural Lighting in the Office Space. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.

[10]. Dickson, T., & Pavía, S. (2021). Energy performance, environmental impact and cost of a range of insulation materials. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 140, 110752.

[11]. Duraković, B. (2020). Pcm-based building envelope systems: innovative energy solutions for passive design: Springer Nature.

[12]. Elhadad, S., & Orban, Z. (2021). A sensitivity analysis for thermal performance of building envelope design parameters. Sustainability, 13(24), 14018.

[13]. Evangelisti, L., Guattari, C., Asdrubali, F., & de Lieto Vollaro, R. (2020). An experimental investigation of the thermal performance of a building solar shading device. Journal of Building engineering, 28, 101089.

[14]. Ezennia, I. S. (2022). Insights of housing providers' on the critical barriers to sustainable affordable housing uptake in Nigeria. World development sustainability, 1, 100023.

[15]. Fawaier, M., & Bokor, B. (2022). Dynamic insulation systems of building envelopes: A review. Energy and Buildings, 270, 112268.

[16]. Gupta, V., & Deb, C. (2023). Envelope design for low-energy buildings in the tropics: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 186, 113650.

[17]. Hafez, F. S., Sa'di, B., Safa-Gamal, M., Taufiq-Yap, Y., Alrifaey, M., Seyedmahmoudian, M., . . . Mekhilef, S. (2023). Energy efficiency in sustainable buildings: a systematic review with taxonomy, challenges, motivations, methodological aspects, recommendations, and pathways for future research. Energy Strategy Reviews, 45, 101013.

[18]. Ismaiel, M., Chen, Y., Cruz-Noguez, C., & Hagel, M. (2022). Thermal resistance of masonry walls: a literature review on influence factors, evaluation, and improvement. Journal of Building Physics, 45(4), 528-567.

[19]. Jia, L.-R., Han, J., Chen, X., Li, Q.-Y., Lee, C.-C., & Fung, Y.-H. (2021). Interaction between thermal comfort, indoor air quality and ventilation energy consumption of educational buildings: A comprehensive review. Buildings, 11(12), 591.

[20]. Kedzierski, M., Le Maguer, G., Maffessoli, A., & Bruzaud, S. (2021). First insights on the behavior of foamed polystyrenes in the marine environment and on the ways to reduce this pollution. OceanWise reports. 214p. Main contributors, 2, 4.

[21]. Kumar, D., Alam, M., Zou, P. X., Sanjayan, J. G., & Memon, R. A. (2020). Comparative analysis of building insulation material properties and performance. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 131, 110038.

[22]. Lee, E. S., Matusiak, B. S., Geisler-Moroder, D., Selkowitz, S. E., & Heschong, L. (2022). Advocating for view and daylight in buildings: Next steps. Energy and Buildings, 265, 112079.

[23]. Lewis, J., Hernández, D., & Geronimus, A. T. (2020). Energy efficiency as energy justice: addressing racial inequities through investments in people and places. Energy efficiency, 13, 419-432.

[24]. Mebarki, C., Djakab, E., Mokhtarii, A. M., & Amrane, Y. (2021). Improvement of Daylight Factor Model for Window Size Optimization and Energy Efficient Building Envelope Design. Journal of Daylighting, 8(2), 204-221.

[25]. Meil, J., Lucuik, M., O'Connor, J., & Dangerfield, J. (2006). A life cycle environmental and economic assessment of optimum value engineering in houses. Forest products journal, 56(9).

[26]. Miles, L. D. (2015). Techniques of value analysis and engineering: Miles Value Foundation.

[27]. Miller, S. A., & Moore, F. C. (2020). Climate and health damages from global concrete production. Nature Climate Change, 10(5), 439-443.

[28]. Moghayedi, A., Awuzie, B., Omotayo, T., Le Jeune, K., Massyn, M., Ekpo, C. O., . . . Byron, P. (2021). A critical success factor framework for implementing sustainable innovative and affordable housing: a systematic review and bibliometric analysis. Buildings, 11(8), 317.

[29]. Nagy, N. (2020). Determination of thermal properties of mineral wool insulation materials for use in full-scale fire modelling. University of Waterloo,

[30]. Naoum, S. G. (2016). Factors influencing labor productivity on construction sites: A state-of-the-art literature review and a survey. International journal of productivity and performance management, 65(3), 401-421.

[31]. Naresh, R., Parameshwaran, R., & Ram, V. V. (2020). Bio-based phase-change materials. In Bio-Based Materials and Biotechnologies for Eco-Efficient Construction (pp. 203-242): Elsevier.

[32]. Ortiz, M., Itard, L., & Bluyssen, P. M. (2020). Indoor environmental quality related risk factors with energy-efficient retrofitting of housing: A literature review. Energy and Buildings, 221, 110102.

[33]. Rashad, M., Khordehgah, N., Żabnieńska-Góra, A., Ahmad, L., & Jouhara, H. (2021). The utilisation of useful ambient energy in residential dwellings to improve thermal comfort and reduce energy consumption. International Journal of Thermofluids, 9, 100059.

[34]. Rashid, F. L., Al-Obaidi, M. A., Dhaidan, N. S., Hussein, A. K., Ali, B., Hamida, M. B. B., & Younis, O. (2023). Bio-based phase change materials for thermal energy storage and release: A review. Journal of Energy Storage, 73, 109219.

[35]. Saber, H. H., & Yarbrough, D. W. (2021). Advanced modeling of enclosed airspaces to determine thermal resistance for building applications. Energies, 14(22), 7772.

[36]. Soto, M., Rojas, C., & Cárdenas-Ramírez, J. P. (2022). Characterization of a thermal insulating material based on a wheat straw and recycled paper cellulose to Be applied in buildings by blowing method. Sustainability, 15(1), 58.

[37]. Stasi, R., Ruggiero, F., & Berardi, U. (2024). Influence of cross-ventilation cooling potential on thermal comfort in high-rise buildings in a hot and humid climate. Building and Environment, 248, 111096.

[38]. Tabet Aoul, K. A., Hagi, R., Abdelghani, R., Syam, M., & Akhozheya, B. (2021). Building envelope thermal defects in existing and under-construction housing in the UAE; infrared thermography diagnosis and qualitative impacts analysis. Sustainability, 13(4), 2230.

[39]. Tripathi, B. M., & Shukla, S. K. (2024). A comprehensive review of the thermal performance in energy efficient building envelope incorporated with phase change materials. Journal of Energy Storage, 79, 110128.

[40]. Tubelo, R., Rodrigues, L., Gillott, M., & Zune, M. (2021). Comfort within budget: Assessing the cost-effectiveness of envelope improvements in single-family affordable housing. Sustainability, 13(6), 3054.

[41]. Wentzel, L. (2010). An analysis of quality assurance in low-cost housing construction. Cape Peninsula University of Technology,

[42]. Wu, K., Wu, H., Wang, R., Yan, X., Sun, W., Liu, Y., . . . Chen, S. (2022). The use of cellulose fiber from office waste paper to improve the thermal insulation-related property of konjac glucomannan/starch aerogel. Industrial Crops and Products, 177, 114424.

[43]. Yang, S., Cho, H. M., Yun, B. Y., Hong, T., & Kim, S. (2021). Energy usage and cost analysis of passive thermal retrofits for low-rise residential buildings in Seoul. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 151, 111617.

[44]. Yang, Y., & Chen, S. (2022). Thermal insulation solutions for opaque envelope of low-energy buildings: A systematic review of methods and applications. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 167, 112738.

[45]. Zhang, S., Yang, L., Suonam, B., Dong, H., & Liu, Y. (2022). Method for dynamic thermal insulation design revealing almost real heat transfer characteristics of building envelopes in different Chinese regions. Energy and Buildings, 274, 112419.

[46]. Zheng, W., Wei, F., Su, S., Cai, J., Wei, J., & Hu, R. (2022). Effect of the envelope structure on the indoor thermal environment of low-energy residential building in humid subtropical climate: In case of brick–timber vernacular dwelling in China. Environmental Technology & Innovation, 28, 102884.

[47]. Zingre, K. T., Kumar, D. K., Wan, M. P., & Chao, C. Y. (2021). Effective R-value approach to comprehend the essence of integrated opaque passive substrate properties. Journal of Building engineering, 44, 102865.