e-ISSN: 2278-7461, p-ISSN: 2319-6491

Volume 13, Issue 9 [September. 2024] PP: 292-297

The Intersection of Gender and Technology: Implications for Workplace Relations

Chidinma Favour Chikwe¹, Adebukola Olufunke Dagunduro², Olanike Abiola Ajuwon³, Ayo Amen Ediae ⁴

Abstract

This review paper explores the intersection of gender and technology and its implications for workplace relations. It provides a historical context of gender roles and technological advancements, analyzing how these factors have shaped the modern workplace. The current landscape of gender representation in technology fields is examined, highlighting the persistent underrepresentation of women and the impact of technology on gender dynamics. The paper identifies key challenges and barriers different genders face in technology-related fields, such as gender bias, lack of mentorship, and discriminatory workplace cultures. It also explores how technology influences power dynamics, communication, collaboration, and work-life balance. Emerging trends, such as artificial intelligence, remote work, and the gig economy, are discussed to impact gender relations potentially. The paper concludes with policy and practice recommendations to promote gender equality in technology-driven workplaces and a call to action for stakeholders to address gender disparities and create more inclusive environments.

Keywords: Gender and Technology, Workplace Relations, Gender Representation, Technological Impact, Gender Bias

Date of Submission: 09-09-2024 Date of acceptance: 25-09-2024

I. Introduction

Gender and technology are two interrelated concepts that significantly shape the modern workplace. Gender refers to the social and cultural constructs associated with being male or female, often dictating expected behaviors, roles, and opportunities in various spheres of life, including work (Bonvillain, 2020). Technology encompasses the tools, systems, and processes that facilitate tasks and solve problems, dramatically altering how we work and interact. The intersection of these concepts highlights how technological advancements can reinforce or challenge existing gender norms and impact workplace dynamics (Haleem, Javaid, Qadri, & Suman, 2022).

The significance of exploring the intersection of gender and technology lies in its profound implications for workplace relations. Technology has the potential to democratize access to information, streamline processes, and foster more inclusive environments. However, it can also perpetuate existing inequalities if not thoughtfully implemented. Understanding this intersection is crucial as it helps identify and address disparities that may arise from technological integration. For instance, gender biases in algorithmic design can reinforce stereotypes, while unequal access to technology can widen the gap between genders in career advancement opportunities. Addressing these issues is essential for creating equitable and productive workplaces (Cawley, 2020; Yu, 2024).

This paper explores the multifaceted relationship between gender and technology and its implications for workplace relations. The primary objectives are to trace the historical context of gender roles in the workplace and their evolution alongside technological advances, analyze the current state of gender representation in technology fields, and examine the impact of technology on gender dynamics. Additionally, the paper will identify the challenges and barriers different genders face in technology-driven environments and discuss how technology influences power dynamics, communication, collaboration, and work-life balance. Finally, the paper will provide recommendations for fostering gender equality in workplaces increasingly shaped by technological innovations.

II. Historical Context

2.1 Evolution of Gender Roles in the Workplace

The evolution of gender roles in the workplace is a complex and multifaceted narrative that reflects broader societal changes. Traditionally, the division of labor along gender lines was stark, with men occupying

roles in public and economic spheres while women were confined to domestic duties. This delineation was rooted in patriarchal structures that viewed men as breadwinners and women as caretakers. During the Industrial Revolution, as economies shifted from agrarian to industrial, men dominated the burgeoning factory jobs, while women's work remained primarily home-based or in low-paying, less prestigious positions (Walkowitz, 2020).

In the early 20th century, significant shifts began to emerge. The two World Wars played a pivotal role in altering gender roles within the workplace. With men conscripted into military service, women were called upon to fill the gaps in the labor force, taking on roles traditionally reserved for men (Glucksmann, 2022). Women worked in factories, served as clerks, and even held positions in engineering and technical fields. This period began a gradual but irreversible change in societal perceptions of gender roles (Jenson, Laufer, & Maruani, 2020).

Post-World War II, however, saw a push to return women to their pre-war domestic roles, bolstered by the idealized notion of the nuclear family. Despite this, the seeds of change had been sown. The feminist movements of the 1960s and 1970s further challenged traditional gender roles, advocating for equal rights and opportunities in the workplace. Legislative milestones, such as the Equal Pay Act of 1963 and the Civil Rights Act of 1964 in the United States, began to address gender discrimination formally (Goldin, 2023). The latter half of the 20th century witnessed an increasing number of women entering higher education and the professional workforce. Despite these advances, women often faced a "glass ceiling," an invisible barrier preventing them from ascending to top-tier positions. The evolution of gender roles in the workplace thus reflects a continual struggle for equality, marked by significant progress and persistent challenges (Suk, 2020).

2.2 Technological Advances and Gender

Technological advancements have historically played a dual role in shaping and reflecting gender roles in the workplace. Introducing new technologies has created opportunities for breaking traditional gender norms and reinforced existing disparities. Understanding this dual impact requires examining vital historical milestones.

In the early 20th century, the advent of office technologies such as typewriters and telephones led to the emergence of the clerical workforce, which became heavily feminized. These technologies created new job categories, such as secretarial work, which women predominantly filled. While this provided women with more employment opportunities, it also reinforced gender stereotypes by associating women with supportive, rather than leadership, roles (Calsy & D'Agostino, 2021).

The mid-20th century saw the rise of computing technology, a field initially inclusive of women. Notably, women were among the first computer programmers during World War II, with pioneers like Ada Lovelace and the women of the ENIAC project making significant contributions. However, the field became increasingly male-dominated as computing gained prestige and professionalization. This shift was influenced by cultural factors and educational practices that discouraged women from pursuing careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) (van Huizen et al., 2020).

The personal computer revolution of the 1980s further entrenched gender disparities. Marketing strategies targeted men and boys, portraying computing as a male-oriented activity. Consequently, fewer women pursued computer science degrees, leading to a gender imbalance in the tech industry that persists today. Despite this, women made significant, albeit often unrecognized, contributions to technological advancements (S. Afolabi, 2024; Schlombs, 2022).

The late 20th and early 21st centuries brought about the digital age, characterized by the proliferation of the internet and mobile technologies. These advancements have had complex effects on gender roles in the workplace. On the one hand, digital technologies have democratized access to information and enabled flexible work arrangements, potentially benefiting women. For instance, remote work capabilities can help women balance professional and domestic responsibilities. On the other hand, the tech industry, a significant driver of these innovations, remains male-dominated, with women underrepresented in leadership positions and facing barriers such as gender bias and discrimination (George, 2024).

Moreover, the design and implementation of new technologies often reflect the biases of their predominantly male creators. Examples include gender-biased algorithms in hiring processes and the lack of consideration for women's needs in product design. Such biases can perpetuate existing inequalities and create new forms of discrimination. Integrating artificial intelligence and machine learning in the workplace is a contemporary example of how technological advancements can impact gender roles. If not designed with diversity in mind, AI systems can reinforce existing biases. For example, hiring algorithms trained on historical data that reflect gender biases may favor male candidates, thus perpetuating gender disparities in employment (Andrews & Bucher, 2022). However, technology also holds the potential to challenge and transform traditional gender roles. Initiatives promoting digital literacy and STEM education for girls and women aim to close the gender gap in technology fields. Additionally, organizations increasingly recognize the importance of diversity and inclusion, implementing policies to address gender disparities and creating more equitable workplaces (Hunt, Prince, Dixon-Fyle, & Dolan, 2020).

III. Current Landscape

3.1 Gender Representation in Technology Fields

The current state of gender representation in technology fields reflects ongoing disparities despite some progress. Women remain significantly underrepresented in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) sectors, particularly in engineering and computing. According to a 2020 National Center for Women & Information Technology report, women held about 25% of computing-related occupations in the United States. The situation is even more stark for women of color, who occupy an even smaller percentage of these roles. This underrepresentation is not confined to entry-level positions but extends to leadership roles, where women's presence diminishes further up the corporate ladder (Morrison et al., 2021).

Efforts to bridge this gap have seen mixed results. Initiatives aimed at increasing the participation of women in STEM fields, such as educational programs, mentorship opportunities, and diversity hiring practices, have raised awareness but have not yet led to proportional representation. The disparity is rooted in systemic issues, including cultural stereotypes, educational pathways, and workplace environments. The stereotype that tech fields are predominantly male persists, influencing both young women's career choices and the industry's hiring practices (Lau, Scott, Warren, & Bligh, 2023).

3.2 Technological Impact on Gender Dynamics

Technology profoundly impacts gender dynamics in the workplace, influencing how individuals interact, collaborate, and advance in their careers. On the positive side, digital tools and platforms have democratized access to information and resources, enabling remote work, flexible hours, and global collaboration. These advancements can potentially level the playing field by providing opportunities for all genders to balance professional and personal responsibilities. For instance, remote work can help women manage career and family obligations more effectively, potentially reducing the gender gap in workforce participation (Aloisi & De Stefano, 2022).

However, technology can also reinforce and exacerbate existing gender biases. One significant issue is algorithmic bias in AI and machine learning systems. These technologies, used increasingly in hiring and performance evaluation, can perpetuate gender stereotypes if the underlying data reflects historical biases. For example, hiring algorithms trained on data from male-dominated industries may favor male candidates, reinforcing gender disparities. Moreover, while enabling more flexible work arrangements, digital communication platforms can mirror traditional workplace dynamics where women may find it challenging to assert their presence, potentially leading to their contributions being undervalued or overlooked (Akter et al., 2022; Baker & Hawn, 2022).

3.3 Challenges and Barriers

Women and other gender minorities in technology-related fields face numerous challenges and barriers that hinder their progress and participation. One of the primary barriers is gender bias and discrimination, which can manifest in various forms, including pay disparities, biased hiring practices, and workplace cultures that favor men. Women often encounter the "double bind" dilemma, where they are penalized for exhibiting leadership qualities such as assertiveness while also being judged negatively if they conform to traditional feminine stereotypes (Chikwe, Eneh, & Akpuokwe, 2024).

Another significant challenge is the lack of mentorship and sponsorship. Mentorship is crucial for career development, providing guidance, support, and opportunities for growth. However, women in tech often report a lack of access to mentors and sponsors compared to their male counterparts. This lack of support can limit their career advancement and exacerbate feelings of isolation in male-dominated environments (Bridges, Wulff, & Bamberry, 2023).

Workplace culture also plays a crucial role in perpetuating gender disparities. The tech industry has been criticized for its "bro culture," which can create an unwelcoming and hostile environment for women. This culture often includes subtle and overt forms of exclusion, such as being left out of key meetings or decision-making processes, as well as more blatant behaviors like harassment. Such environments can discourage women from pursuing careers in tech or lead to higher attrition rates among those already in the field (Williams, Korn, & Ghani, 2022). Additionally, the pipeline problem contributes to the underrepresentation of women in tech. Girls are often dissuaded from pursuing STEM subjects from a young age due to societal stereotypes and a lack of encouragement. This results in fewer women entering tech-related degree programs and, subsequently, the workforce. Efforts to address this pipeline issue include promoting STEM education for girls, providing role models, and creating inclusive educational environments (S. Afolabi & Aghaunor, 2024).

Finally, the intersectionality of gender with other identities, such as race, ethnicity, and socio-economic status, further complicates the challenges faced by women in technology. Women of color, for instance, experience compounded discrimination and are even more underrepresented in tech fields. This intersectional perspective is essential for understanding and addressing the full scope of barriers different groups face within the gender spectrum (Campbell, 2020).

IV. Implications for Workplace Relations

4.1 Power Dynamics and Hierarchies

Technology has significantly reshaped power dynamics and hierarchies in the workplace, particularly concerning gender. Traditionally, workplace power was closely tied to physical presence, seniority, and control over resources and information. However, the digital revolution has begun to decentralize power, making information more accessible and allowing for more fluid and less hierarchical structures. This shift can potentially democratize workplace dynamics, giving traditionally underrepresented groups, including women and gender minorities, greater access to decision-making processes (S. O. Afolabi, Owoade, Iyere, & Nwobi, 2024).

Digital tools, such as collaborative software, data analytics platforms, and cloud computing, have empowered employees at all levels to access and analyze information that was once confined to upper management. This change can help disrupt the traditional power hierarchies that often exclude women from leadership roles. For example, women who might have been sidelined in traditional, male-dominated environments now have more opportunities to contribute and lead in virtual teams, where physical presence and traditional markers of authority are less relevant (Schildt, 2020).

However, this potential for democratization is not uniformly realized. In many cases, technology can reinforce existing power structures if not implemented with inclusivity in mind. Men, who are more likely to hold leadership positions, often have greater access to advanced technological tools and the training needed to use them effectively. This can perpetuate gender disparities, particularly in tech-heavy industries where control over technology equates to control over decision-making. Furthermore, the design of technology itself often reflects the biases of its predominantly male developers, potentially leading to tools and platforms that do not fully address the needs or experiences of women and other gender minorities (Kendall-Taylor, Frantz, & Wright, 2020).

4.2 Communication and Collaboration

Technology has transformed communication and collaboration in the workplace, with significant implications for gender dynamics. Digital communication tools—such as email, instant messaging, and video conferencing—have made collaborating easier across geographical boundaries and time zones. These tools have the potential to create a more level playing field by providing platforms where all voices can be heard, reducing some of the communication barriers traditionally faced by women in male-dominated environments (Durbin, Lopes, & Warren, 2020).

Asynchronous communication, enabled by platforms like Slack or Microsoft Teams, allows employees to participate in discussions at their convenience, potentially mitigating the pressure to conform to the dominant communication style, which often favors assertiveness—an attribute more culturally associated with men. This can benefit women, who may prefer more considered forms of communication, allowing them to express their ideas without being interrupted or overshadowed in real-time meetings (Simon, 2021). However, digital communication also has its drawbacks. The anonymity and physical distance provided by these platforms can sometimes exacerbate issues like harassment or exclusion, which women and gender minorities disproportionately experience. Negative behaviors can proliferate more easily online without the checks and balances of face-to-face interactions. Additionally, women may find it challenging to assert their presence in virtual meetings, where dominant voices can easily drown out others, reflecting traditional power imbalances (Sobieraj, 2020).

Furthermore, the digital divide—where access to and familiarity with technology varies by gender—can impact collaboration. Women, particularly in developing countries or lower socio-economic backgrounds, may have less access to the necessary technology or training, hindering their ability to participate fully in digital collaboration. Thus, while technology offers new avenues for communication and collaboration, it also risks reinforcing existing inequalities if not approached with a gender-sensitive lens (Jamil, 2021).

4.3 Work-Life Balance

The impact of technology on work-life balance is complex and varies significantly across genders. On the one hand, technology has enabled more flexible working arrangements, such as remote work and flexible hours, which can help employees better manage their professional and personal lives. This flexibility is particularly beneficial for women, who often bear disproportionate caregiving responsibilities. Remote work allows women to remain in the workforce while balancing family obligations, potentially reducing the gender gap in employment (Carli, 2020).

However, the same technology that enables flexibility can blur the boundaries between work and personal life, leading to an "always-on" culture. This can disproportionately impact women, who may already struggle to balance multiple roles. The expectation of being constantly available can exacerbate stress and burnout, particularly for women who are juggling work with domestic responsibilities. Furthermore, the lack of clear boundaries can lead to work encroaching on personal time, making it difficult for women to disengage and recharge fully (Kossek & Kelliher, 2023).

The shift to remote work, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has further highlighted these challenges. While remote work has offered women more flexibility, it has also intensified the demands on their

time as they navigate professional responsibilities alongside increased domestic duties. This double burden can make it harder for women to advance in their careers, as they may have less time and energy to devote to professional development than their male counterparts (Arunprasad, Dey, Jebli, Manimuthu, & El Hathat, 2022).

Moreover, the reliance on technology for remote work can exacerbate inequalities related to access to resources. Women in lower-income households or regions with limited digital infrastructure may struggle with inadequate technology or internet connectivity, further disadvantaging them in the workplace. This digital divide underscores the need for policies that ensure equitable access to technology and support for those balancing work and caregiving roles (Akpuokwe, Chikwe, & Eneh, 2024; Calvin, Mustapha, Afolabi, & Moriki, 2024; Mustapha, Ojeleye, & Afolabi, 2024).

V. Future Directions and Recommendations

5.1 Emerging Trends

Several emerging trends in technology are poised to influence gender relations in the workplace. For example, artificial intelligence and machine learning are transforming various aspects of work, from hiring to performance evaluations. While these technologies offer efficiency and data-driven decision-making, they also risk perpetuating gender biases if not carefully designed and monitored. Ensuring AI systems are trained on diverse and unbiased data sets is crucial to avoid reinforcing existing disparities.

The rise of remote work, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, is another significant trend. Remote work can equalize opportunities by allowing flexible schedules and reducing geographic barriers. However, it also poses challenges, such as the blurring of work-life boundaries and the potential for isolation, which can disproportionately affect women who often juggle professional and domestic responsibilities. Balancing these aspects requires thoughtful policies and support systems.

The gig economy, characterized by short-term contracts and freelance work, is reshaping employment landscapes. While it offers flexibility and autonomy, it also lacks the stability and benefits of traditional employment. Women, particularly those with caregiving responsibilities, may find the gig economy appealing but face challenges such as income instability and lack of career progression. Addressing these issues through appropriate regulatory frameworks is essential.

5.2 Policy and Practice Recommendations

A multifaceted approach is necessary to promote gender equality in technology-driven workplaces. Organizations should implement policies that foster an inclusive culture and address systemic biases. This includes equitable parental leave, flexible working arrangements, and programs that support work-life balance. Offering mentorship and sponsorship programs can help women and gender minorities advance in their careers by providing guidance, support, and networking opportunities.

Another critical area is the recruitment and retention of diverse talent. Organizations should adopt unbiased hiring practices, such as blind recruitment processes and diverse hiring panels, to mitigate unconscious biases. Regular training on diversity and inclusion can raise awareness and promote behavioral change among employees at all levels.

Addressing biases in technological design is also crucial. Tech companies should prioritize diversity in their development teams and involve a broad range of perspectives in designing and testing their products. This helps ensure that technology meets the needs of all users and does not inadvertently perpetuate gender biases.

Furthermore, transparency and accountability are essential. Organizations should regularly assess and report on gender disparities in pay, promotion rates, and representation across different levels. Establishing clear metrics and holding leadership accountable for progress can drive meaningful change.

5.3 Call to Action

The intersection of gender and technology presents challenges and opportunities for workplace relations. To harness the potential of technology for creating equitable workplaces, stakeholders—including policymakers, business leaders, and technology developers—must collaborate and commit to proactive measures. Policymakers should enact regulations that promote gender equality and protect workers' rights in the evolving employment landscape. Business leaders must prioritize diversity and inclusion as core organizational values and allocate resources to implement effective policies and practices. Technology developers should strive to create inclusive and unbiased products that serve the needs of all users. By addressing gender disparities at the intersection of technology and workplace relations, we can build a more inclusive, innovative, and productive workforce. The time to act is now, and collective efforts are essential to ensure that technological advancements contribute to gender equality and empower all individuals to thrive professionally.

References

- [1]. Afolabi, S. (2024). Perceived effect of insecurity on the performance of women entrepreneurs in nigeria. FUW-International Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 9(2).
- [2]. Afolabi, S., & Aghaunor, C. T. (2024). Women leadership, financial literacy and performance of small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22(2), 2239-2253.
- [3]. Afolabi, S. O., Owoade, Y. A., Iyere, E. A., & Nwobi, T. (2024). Exploring the potential of digital marketing skills development for SMES competitiveness and responsiveness. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 23(1), 1242-1252.
- [4]. Akpuokwe, C. U., Chikwe, C. F., & Eneh, N. E. (2024). Leveraging technology and financial literacy for women's empowerment in SMEs: A conceptual framework for sustainable development. Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances, 18(3), 020-032
- [5]. Akter, S., Dwivedi, Y. K., Sajib, S., Biswas, K., Bandara, R. J., & Michael, K. (2022). Algorithmic bias in machine learning-based marketing models. Journal of Business Research, 144, 201-216.
- [6]. Aloisi, A., & De Stefano, V. (2022). Essential jobs, remote work and digital surveillance: Addressing the COVID-19 pandemic panopticon. International Labour Review, 161(2), 289-314.
- [7]. Andrews, L., & Bucher, H. (2022). Automating Discrimination: AI Hiring Practices and Gender Inequality. Cardozo L. Rev., 44, 145.
- [8]. Arunprasad, P., Dey, C., Jebli, F., Manimuthu, A., & El Hathat, Z. (2022). Exploring the remote work challenges in the era of COVID-19 pandemic: review and application model. Benchmarking: An International Journal, 29(10), 3333-3355.
- [9]. Baker, R. S., & Hawn, A. (2022). Algorithmic bias in education. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 1-41.
- [10]. Bonvillain, N. (2020). Women and men: Cultural constructs of gender: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- [11]. Bridges, D., Wulff, E., & Bamberry, L. (2023). Resilience for gender inclusion: Developing a model for women in male-dominated occupations. Gender, Work & Organization, 30(1), 263-279.
- [12]. Calsy, S., & D'Agostino, M. J. (2021). Women in public administration in the United States: leadership, gender stereotypes, and bias.
- [13]. Calvin, O. Y., Mustapha, H. A., Afolabi, S., & Moriki, B. S. (2024). Abusive leadership, job stress and SMES employees' turnover intentions in Nigeria: Mediating effect of emotional exhaustion. International Journal of Intellectual Discourse, 7(1), 146-166.
- [14]. Campbell, K. (2020). Gender and technology: Social context and intersectionality. Handbook of research in educational communications and technology: Learning design, 115-204.
- [15]. Carli, L. L. (2020). Women, gender equality and COVID-19. Gender in management: an International Journal, 35(7/8), 647-655.
- [16]. Cawley, J. J. d. C. B. (2020). Exploring Gender Biases in Information and Communication Technologies: An Analysis of Women's Experiences in the Tech Industry. Universidade Catolica Portuguesa (Portugal),
- [17]. Chikwe, C. F., Eneh, N. E., & Akpuokwe, C. U. (2024). Navigating the double bind: Strategies for women leaders in overcoming stereotypes and leadership biases. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 18(3), 159-172.
- [18]. Durbin, S., Lopes, A., & Warren, S. (2020). Challenging male dominance through the substantive representation of women: the case of an online women's mentoring platform. New Technology, Work and Employment, 35(2), 215-231.
- [19]. George, A. S. (2024). Bridging the Gender Gap in STEM: Empowering Women as Drivers of Technological Innovation. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication, 2(2), 89-105.
- [20]. Glucksmann, M. (2022). Women assemble: women workers and the new industries in inter-war Britain: Routledge.
- [21]. Goldin, C. (2023). Why women won. Retrieved from
- [22]. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. Sustainable operations and computers, 3, 275-285.
- [23]. Hunt, V., Prince, S., Dixon-Fyle, S., & Dolan, K. (2020). Diversity wins. Retrieved from
- [24]. Jamil, S. (2021). From digital divide to digital inclusion: Challenges for wide-ranging digitalization in Pakistan. Telecommunications Policy. 45(8), 102206.
- [25]. Jenson, J., Laufer, J., & Maruani, M. (2020). The gendering of inequalities: Women, men and work: Routledge.
- [26]. Kendall-Taylor, A., Frantz, E., & Wright, J. (2020). The digital dictators: How technology strengthens autocracy. Foreign Aff., 99,
- [27]. Kossek, E. E., & Kelliher, C. (2023). Making flexibility more i-deal: Advancing work-life equality collectively. Group & Organization Management, 48(1), 317-349.
- [28]. Lau, V. W., Scott, V. L., Warren, M. A., & Bligh, M. C. (2023). Moving from problems to solutions: A review of gender equality interventions at work using an ecological systems approach. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 44(2), 399-419.
- [29]. Morrison, B. B., Quinn, B. A., Bradley, S., Buffardi, K., Harrington, B., Hu, H. H., . . . Parker, M. (2021). Evidence for teaching practices that broaden participation for women in computing. In Proceedings of the 2021 Working Group Reports on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education (pp. 57-131).
- [30]. Mustapha, A. H., Ojeleye, Y. C., & Afolabi, S. (2024). Workforce diversity and employee performance in telecommunication companies in nigeria: Can self efficacy accentuate the relationship? FUW-International Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 9(1), 44-67.
- [31]. Schildt, H. (2020). The data imperative: How digitalization is reshaping management, organizing, and work: Oxford University Press, USA.
- [32]. Schlombs, C. (2022). Women, Gender and Computing: The Social Shaping of a Technical Field from Ada Lovelace's Algorithm to Anita Borg's 'Systers'. The Palgrave Handbook of Women and Science since 1660, 307-331.
- [33]. Simon, P. (2021). Reimagining collaboration: Slack, Microsoft Teams, Zoom, and the post-COVID world of work: Racket Publishing.
- [34]. Sobieraj, S. (2020). Credible threat: Attacks against women online and the future of democracy: Oxford University Press.
- [35]. Suk, J. C. (2020). We the Women: The Unstoppable Mothers of the Equal Rights Amendment: Simon and Schuster.
- [36]. van Huizen, N., Kemper, P., Stoelinga, M., Schaafstal, A., Huisman, M., & Lathouwers, S. (2020). Alice & Eve: A celebration of women in computing.
- [37]. Walkowitz, D. J. (2020). A Cultural History of Work in the Modern Age.
- [38]. Williams, J., Korn, R., & Ghani, A. (2022). Pinning down the jellyfish: The workplace experiences of women of color in tech. The Center for Worklife Law, University of California Hastings Law.
- [39]. Yu, C. (2024). Gender Inequality in the Age of AI: Predictions, Perspectives, and Policy Recommendations. Retrieved from