

On the Zeros of Complex Polynomials

M. H. Gulzar

Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Srinagar

Abstract: In the framework of the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem various results have been proved on the location of zeros of complex polynomials. In this paper we give some new results on the zeros of complex polynomials by restricting the real and imaginary parts of their coefficients to certain conditions.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C10, 30C15

Key-words and Phrases: Coefficients, Polynomials, Zeros

I. Introduction and Statement of Results

The following result known as the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem [10] is well-known in the theory of distribution of zeros of polynomials:

Theorem A: Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n such that its coefficients satisfy

$$a_n \geq a_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq a_1 \geq a_0 > 0.$$

Then all the zeros of $P(z)$ lie in the closed unit disk $|z| \leq 1$.

In the literature [1-9, 12] there exist several generalisations and extensions of this result. Recently Y. Choo [3] proved the following results:

Theorem B: Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n with

$\operatorname{Re}(a_j) = \alpha_j, \operatorname{Im}(a_j) = \beta_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that for some λ and μ , and for some k_1, k_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 \alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{\lambda+1} \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \alpha_{\lambda-1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0 \\ k_2 \beta_n \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \beta_{\mu+1} \leq \beta_\mu \geq \beta_{\mu-1} \geq \dots \geq \beta_1 \geq \beta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_1 \leq |z| \leq R_2$ where

$$R_1 = \frac{|a_0|}{M_1} \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = \frac{M_2}{|a_n|}$$

with

$$M_1 = |a_n| + |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + \beta_n) - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0)$$

and

$$M_2 = |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + k_2 \beta_n) - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) + |a_0|.$$

M. H. Gulzar [8] made an improvement on the above result by proving the following result:

Theorem C: Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n with

$\operatorname{Re}(a_j) = \alpha_j, \operatorname{Im}(a_j) = \beta_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that for some λ and μ , and for some k_1, k_2, τ_1, τ_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 \alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{\lambda+1} \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \tau_1 \alpha_0 \\ k_2 \beta_n \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \beta_{\mu+1} \leq \beta_\mu \geq \dots \geq \beta_1 \geq \tau_2 \beta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_3 \leq |z| \leq R_4$ where

$$R_3 = \frac{|a_0|}{M_3} \quad \text{and} \quad R_4 = \frac{M_4}{|a_n|}$$

with

$$M_3 = |a_n| + |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1\alpha_n + k_2\beta_n) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1\alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2\beta_0$$

and

$$M_4 = |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1\alpha_n + k_2\beta_n) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1\alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2\beta_0 + |a_0|.$$

The aim of this paper is to find a ring-shaped region between two concentric circles with centre not necessarily on the origin. More precisely, we prove the following results:

Theorem 1: Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n with

$\text{Re}(a_j) = \alpha_j, \text{Im}(a_j) = \beta_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that for some λ and μ , and for some k_1, k_2, τ_1, τ_2 ,

$$k_1\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{\lambda+1} \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \tau_1\alpha_0$$

$$k_2\beta_n \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \beta_{\mu+1} \leq \beta_\mu \geq \dots \geq \beta_1 \geq \tau_2\beta_0.$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_5 \leq |z - \gamma_1| \leq R_6$ where

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{(1 - k_1)\alpha_n}{a_n}, R_5 = \frac{|a_0|}{M_5} - \frac{|(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n|}{|a_n|} \text{ and } R_6 = \frac{M_6}{|a_n|}$$

with

$$M_5 = |a_n| + |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1\alpha_n + k_2\beta_n) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1\alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2\beta_0$$

and

$$M_6 = 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1\alpha_n + k_2\beta_n) + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1\alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2\beta_0 + |a_0|.$$

Theorem 2: Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n with

$\text{Re}(a_j) = \alpha_j, \text{Im}(a_j) = \beta_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that for some λ and μ , and for some k_1, k_2, τ_1, τ_2 ,

$$k_1\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{\lambda+1} \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \tau_1\alpha_0$$

$$k_2\beta_n \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \beta_{\mu+1} \leq \beta_\mu \geq \dots \geq \beta_1 \geq \tau_2\beta_0.$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_7 \leq |z - \gamma_2| \leq R_8$ where

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{i(1 - k_2)\beta_n}{a_n}, R_7 = \frac{|a_0|}{M_7} - \frac{|(k_2 - 1)\beta_n|}{|a_n|} \text{ and } R_8 = \frac{M_8}{|a_n|}$$

with

$$M_7 = |a_n| + |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1\alpha_n + k_2\beta_n) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1\alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2\beta_0$$

and

$$M_8 = 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1\alpha_n + k_2\beta_n) + |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1\alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2\beta_0 + |a_0|.$$

Remark 1: The bounds for the zeros of $P(z)$ in both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are easily seen to be sharper than those of Theorems B and C. For different values of the parameters k_1, k_2, τ_1, τ_2 , we get many other interesting results. For example for

$k_1 = 1, \tau_2 = 1$, in Theorem 2, we get a result due to B. L. Raina et al [11].

For $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = 1$, Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem B.

For $k_1 = 1, k_2 = 1$, in Theorem 1, we get the following result:

Corollary 1: Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n with

$\text{Re}(a_j) = \alpha_j, \text{Im}(a_j) = \beta_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that for some λ and μ , and for some τ_1, τ_2 ,

$$\alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{\lambda+1} \leq \alpha_\lambda \geq \dots \geq \alpha_1 \geq \tau_1 \alpha_0$$

$$\beta_n \leq \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq \beta_{\mu+1} \leq \beta_\mu \geq \dots \geq \beta_1 \geq \tau_2 \beta_0.$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_9 \leq |z| \leq R_{10}$ where

$$R_9 = \frac{|a_0|}{M_9} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{10} = \frac{M_{10}}{|a_n|}$$

with

$$M_9 = |a_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (\alpha_n + \beta_n) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1 \alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2 \beta_0$$

and

$$M_{10} = 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (\alpha_n + \beta_n) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1 \alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2 \beta_0 + |a_0|.$$

II. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1: Consider the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= (1-z)P(z) \\ &= (1-z)(a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0) \\ &= -a_n z^{n+1} + (a_n - a_{n-1})z^n + (a_{n-1} - a_{n-2})z^{n-1} + \dots \\ &\quad + (a_2 - a_1)z^2 + (a_1 - a_0)z + a_0 \\ &= -a_n z^{n+1} + (k_1 \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})z^n - (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n z^n + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2})z^{n-1} + \dots \\ &\quad + (\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0)z + (\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0 z + a_0 + i\{(k_2 \beta_n - \beta_{n-1})z^n - (k_2 - 1)\beta_n z^n \\ &\quad + (\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2})z^{n-1} + \dots + (\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0)z + (\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0 z\} \\ &= -z^n \left[\{a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n\} - \{(k_1 \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) + \frac{\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}}{z} + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{a_0}{z^n}\} - i\{(k_2 \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}) - \frac{(k_2 - 1)\beta_n}{z} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2}}{z} + \dots + \frac{\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0}{z^n}\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For $|z| > 1$, we have $\frac{1}{|z|^{n-j}} < 1, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and, therefore,

$$|F(z)| \geq |z|^n \left[|a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| - \left\{ |k_1 \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + \frac{|\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}|}{|z|} + \dots \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{|\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0|}{|z|^{n-1}} + \frac{|(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0|}{|z|^{n-1}} + \frac{|a_0|}{|z|^n} + |k_2 \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + \frac{|(k_2 - 1)\beta_n|}{|z|} \\
 & + \frac{|\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2}|}{|z|} + \dots + \frac{|\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0|}{|z|^{n-1}} + \frac{|(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0|}{|z|^n} \}] \\
 & > |z|^n [|a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| - \{ |k_1 \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| + |\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}| + \dots + |\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0| \\
 & + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| + |a_0| + |k_2 \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + |\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2}| \\
 & + |\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0| + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| \}] \\
 & = |z|^n [|a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| - \{ (\alpha_{n-1} - k_1 \alpha_n) + (\alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-1}) + \dots + (\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda+1}) \\
 & + (\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda-1}) + \dots + (\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| + |a_0| + (\beta_{n-1} - k_2 \beta_n) \\
 & + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + (\beta_{n-2} - \beta_{n-1}) + \dots + (\beta_\mu - \beta_{\mu+1}) + (\beta_\mu - \beta_{\mu-1}) + \dots \\
 & + (\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0) + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| \}] \\
 & = |z|^n [|a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| - \{ 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + k_2 \beta_n) + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| \\
 & + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_1 \alpha_0 - \tau_2 \beta_0 + |a_0| \}] \\
 & > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

if

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| & > \{ 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + k_2 \beta_n) + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| \\
 & + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_1 \alpha_0 - \tau_2 \beta_0 + |a_0| \}
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\left| z + \frac{(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n}{a_n} \right| > \frac{1}{|a_n|} [\{ 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + k_2 \beta_n) + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n|$$

This shows that those zeros of $F(z)$ and hence $P(z)$ whose modulus is greater than 1 lie in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| z + \frac{(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n}{a_n} \right| & \leq \frac{1}{|a_n|} [\{ 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + k_2 \beta_n) + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| \\
 & + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_1 \alpha_0 - \tau_2 \beta_0 \}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the zeros of $P(z)$ of modulus less than or equal to 1 already satisfy the above inequality, it follows that all the zeros of $P(z)$ lie in

$$|z - \gamma_1| \leq R_6.$$

To prove the other half of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z) & = -a_n z^{n+1} + (k_1 \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) z^n - (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n z^n + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}) z^{n-1} + \dots \\
 & + (\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0) z + (\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0 z + i \{ (k_2 \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}) z^n - (k_2 - 1)\beta_n z^n \\
 & + (\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2}) z^{n-1} + \dots + (\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0) z + (\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0 z \} + a_0 \\
 & = Q(z) + a_0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q(z) = -a_n z^{n+1} + (k_1 \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) z^n - (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n z^n + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}) z^{n-1} + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ (\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0)z + (\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0 z + i\{(k_2 \beta_n - \beta_{n-1})z^n - (k_2 - 1)\beta_n z^n \\
 &+ (\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2})z^{n-1} + \dots + (\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0)z + (\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0 z\}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $|z| \leq 1$,

$$|Q(z)| \leq |a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + (\alpha_{n-1} - k_1 \alpha_n) + (\alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-1}) + \dots + (\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda+1}) + (\alpha_\lambda - \alpha_{\lambda-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \dots + (\alpha_1 - \tau_1 \alpha_0) + |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| + (\beta_{n-1} - k_2 \beta_n) + (\beta_{n-2} - \beta_{n-1}) \\
 &+ \dots + (\beta_\mu - \beta_{\mu+1}) + (\beta_\mu - \beta_{\mu-1}) + \dots + (\beta_1 - \tau_2 \beta_0) + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| \\
 \leq &|a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + 2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + k_2 \beta_n) + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| \\
 &+ |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_1 \alpha_0 - \tau_2 \beta_0. \\
 = &|a_n z + (k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + R \\
 \leq &|a_n| + |(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + R = M_5,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 R = &2(\alpha_\lambda + \beta_\mu) - (k_1 \alpha_n + k_2 \beta_n) + |(k_2 - 1)\beta_n| \\
 &+ |(\tau_1 - 1)\alpha_0| - \tau_1 \alpha_0 + |(\tau_2 - 1)\beta_0| - \tau_2 \beta_0
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q(z)$ is analytic and $Q(0)=0$, it follows by Rouché's theorem that

$$|Q(z)| \leq M_5 |z| \text{ for } |z| \leq 1.$$

Therefore, for $|z| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |F(z)| &= |a_0 + Q(z)| \\
 &\geq |a_0| - |Q(z)| \\
 &\geq |a_0| - M_5 |z| \\
 &> 0
 \end{aligned}$$

if

$$|z| < \frac{|a_0|}{M_5}.$$

This shows that $F(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < \frac{|a_0|}{M_5}$. It is easy to see that $M_5 \leq |a_0|$. In other words, it follows

that $F(z)$ and hence $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $\frac{|a_0|}{M_5} \leq |z|$.

Since

$$|z| = |z - \gamma_1 + \gamma_1| \leq |z - \gamma_1| + |\gamma_1|,$$

we have

$$\frac{|a_0|}{M_5} \leq |z| \leq |z - \gamma_1| + |\gamma_1|.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|a_0|}{M_5} - |\gamma_1| \leq |z - \gamma_1|$$

i.e.

$$\frac{|a_0|}{M_5} - \left| \frac{(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n}{a_n} \right| \leq |z - \gamma_1|.$$

Hence, it follows that $P(z)$ has all its zeros in

$$\frac{|a_0|}{M_5} - \left| \frac{(k_1 - 1)\alpha_n}{a_n} \right| \leq |z - \gamma_1|.$$

That completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2: Similar to that of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] A. Aziz and B. A. Zargar, Some extensions of the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem, *Glasnik Mathematicki*, 31(1996) , 239-244.
- [2] A. Aziz and Q. G. Mohammad, On the Zeros of a certain class of Polynomials and related analytic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Applications* 75(1980), 495-502.
- [3] Y. Choo, Some Results on the Zeros of Polynomials and Related Analytic Functions , *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis* , 5(2011) , No. 35,1741-1760.
- [4] K.K.Dewan, N.K.Govil, On the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem, *J. Approx. Theory*, 42(1984) , 239-244.
- [5] R.B.Gardner, N.K. Govil, Some Generalisations of the Enestrom- Kakeya Theorem , *Acta Math. Hungar* Vol.74(1997), 125-134.
- [6] N.K.Govil and G.N.Mc-tume, Some extensions of the Enestrom- Kakeya Theorem , *Int.J.Appl.Math.* Vol.11,No.3,2002, 246-253.
- [7] N.K.Govil and Q.I.Rehman, On the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem, *Tohoku Math. J.*,20(1968) , 126-136.
- [8] M. H. Gulzar, On the Zeros of Polynomials with Restricted Coefficients *Int. Journal of Mathematics and Computing Systems*, Vol.3, Issue 2, July-December, 2012, 1-8.
- [9] A. Joyal, G. Labelle, Q.I. Rahman, On the location of zeros of polynomials, *Canadian Math. Bull.*,10(1967) , 55-63.
- [10] M. Marden , *Geometry of Polynomials*, IInd Ed.Math. Surveys, No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence,R.I,1996.
- [11] B. L. Raina, S. K. Sahu and Neha, The Zeros of Complex Polynomials with sharper annular bounds, *Int. Journal of Mathematical Archive-* 4(3), 2013, 108-113.
- [12] W. M. Shah and A. Liman, On the Enestrom-Kakeya Theorem and related analytic functions, *Proc. Indian Acad.Sci.(Math.Sci)* , 2007, 359-370.